UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

.U.Ş.			V 1 1 C 1 1		
A STATE OF S	· weer strap	Section 100	 and the second	6:	59

	MAYER-WHITTINGTON CLERK
ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et al.,)
Plaintiffs,	Civil Action No. 96-1285 (RCL)
v.) (Judge Lamberth)
GALE A. NORTON, et al.,))
Defendants.)))

THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR'S OBJECTIONS TO CORRECTED REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER REGARDING THE DELETION OF INDIVIDUAL INDIAN TRUST INFORMATION BY FORMER ASSISTANT SECRETARY-INDIAN AFFAIRS NEAL MCCALEB

On January 27, 2003, the Special Master issued his report (the "Corrected Report") of his investigation of allegations that between December 2001 and early October 2002, former Assistant Secretary Neal McCaleb failed to print out and file e-mail messages he received and may have deleted the messages before they were captured on backup tapes. On the basis of an investigation concerning the e-mail practices of a particular former Department of the Interior official, the Special Master's report draws certain conclusions about the Department's recordkeeping practices that are legally incorrect and/or factually erroneous. The Department's objections follow.

1. The Corrected Report apparently reached factual conclusions that the Department as an institution had failed to take required actions to ensure that records were maintained. This conclusion is not supported by the record. The Special Master recognizes that the Department of the Interior has promulgated "numerous regulations and directives governing the retention of electronic correspondence." Corrected Report at 12. Indeed, the report lists four directives

issued during Mr. McCaleb's tenure as Assistant Secretary concerning policies for the retention of records and information transmitted by e-mail, *id.* at 12-13, and identifies eight other directives issued before Mr. McCaleb took office, *id.* at 13-14 n.8. *See also* Attachments 1-3 to the Corrected Report.

The report also reflects that other employees in the Office of Assistant Secretary whose recordkeeping practices were examined had adhered to the Department's directives. Corrected Report at 31-33. The report specifically cited the recordkeeping practices of Jean Maybee, Deputy Assistant Secretary Aurene Martin, and Acting Deputy Commissioner Terry Virden. *Id.* at 32-33, and 33 n.32. Further, the report quotes with approval testimony that Mr. McCaleb's predecessor, Kevin Gover, printed out and retained e-mails, and that former Deputy Commissioner Sharon Blackwell was a "fanatic" in retaining her e-mails, *id.* at 33.

In sum, the evidence developed in the Special Master's investigation, as set forth in the Corrected Report and the attachments to the report show that, first, the Department repeatedly provided directions to employees concerning their obligations on the retention of records transmitted by e-mail, and second, that other employees whose e-mail practices the Special Master examined in the course of his investigation adhered to the policies set forth in the Department's directives. Consequently, the Special Master's conclusory statements that the "current state of affairs can best be described as chaotic," *id.* at 51, or that the Department used "an enfeebled data-transmission backup policy that virtually ensured trust communications would neither be captured nor preserved," *id.* at 1, are contradicted by the evidence developed in the investigation and therefore are clearly erroneous.

¹ Moreover, contrary to the Special Master's apparent assumption, the Department did not (continued...)

- 2. The Special Master implies that Mr. McCaleb erased trust information not only from his own e-mail inbox but also from the Department's records. *See* Corrected Report at 1, 40. This finding is without evidentiary support and is speculative. The trust information would be erased from the Department's records only if:
 - (1) the sender and each recipient of the e-mail, contrary to repeated directives, failed to print out and file the trust information;
 - (2) the sender and each recipient deleted the e-mail;
 - (3) the sender and each recipient, contrary to e-mailed instructions, deleted the e-mail before the e-mail was successfully captured on a Friday backup tape²; and
 - (4) the trust information existed solely in the e-mail, and had not been preserved in any other media, such as on paper or a computer hard drive, or in other data collections.

There is simply no evidence indicating that all of the above contingencies occurred. The Special Master speculates that Department employees who sent e-mails to Mr. McCaleb may have been "equally remiss in the administration of their electronic correspondence" because the Department had allegedly not provided training. Corrected Report at 40. The conclusion that the Department had not provided training is based on the deposition testimony of Aurene Martin and Jean Maybee that they had received no training. As discussed above, the Special Master

¹(...continued)
use a data-transmission backup policy, enfeebled or otherwise, to capture or preserve trust
records. Rather, as is clear from the directives attached to the Corrected Report, employees were
directed to print out e-mails containing trust information or which constituted federal records.

² After the Friday backup had been successfully completed, notifications were sent to each user's computer stating the date of the successful backup, reminding users that they were required to print and file all e-mail messages relating to the "Three Functional Areas," and instructing users not to delete any e-mails pertaining to the Three Functional Areas received after the date of the successful Friday backup "until you receive another message similar to this one indicating the retention Backup has been successful." Corrected Report at 38 and Attachment 4 (emphasis omitted).

apparently approved of the record retention practices of Ms. Martin and Ms. Maybee. As also discussed above, the Department had repeatedly instructed employees on record retention requirements.

More fundamentally, there is no basis for the Special Master's apparent assumption that there was any trust information that existed solely in the form of a BIA e-mail sent to Mr.

McCaleb. The Special Master cites Mr. McCaleb's testimony that he deleted e-mails containing the OIRM Morning Report. Corrected Report at 16-17. However, Mr. McCaleb testified that he subsequently was able to obtain paper copies of all of the OIRM Morning Reports. Deposition of Neal McCaleb, December 6, 2002 ("McCaleb Dep.") at 60 (attached in full as Exhibit 2).³

During the period in question, December 2001 to October 2002, BIA's trust responsibilities were limited to leasing of land resources, collection of funds and computing of funds for distribution.

Id. at 30. We are aware of no evidence that the lease and accounting records generated by these trust responsibilities were created in e-mails that would have initially been sent to or received from Mr. McCaleb, and logic dictates otherwise.

The Special Master's apparent finding that Mr. McCaleb erased e-mails containing trust information from his own inbox before they were captured on retained backup tapes, Corrected Report at 16, has little if any record support. As the Special Master noted, throughout the period in question, it was BIA's policy to retain Friday backup tapes for BIA e-mails. *Id.* at 38. If the Friday backup was successful, notifications were sent to each user's computer. Mr. McCaleb was away from his office on a majority of the working days between December 2001, and October

³The attached transcript of the McCaleb deposition bears the erroneous label "Confidential – Subject to Protective Order." *See* Exhibit 2, at 1. As noted in the December 12, 2002 letter from Amalia D. Kessler, Department of Justice, to Alan L. Balaran, Special Master (attached as Exhibit 3), the deposition was not subject to a protective order.

2002, and he did not access his e-mails while on travel. See Corrected Report, Attachment 8, November 8, 2002 Letter from Sabrina McCarthy, Department of the Interior, to Peter B. Miller, Department of Justice (the "McCarthy letter") at 1-2, and 3 n.3. Zantaz, a Department of the Interior contractor, has conducted an initial audit of the backup tapes and found 7,136 e-mails sent or received by Mr. McCaleb. See Attachment 4 to the January 2003 Report of the Special Master, Zantaz report at 3 (Exhibit 1 to this memorandum).⁴ The record reflects that between December 6, 2001, when BIA's access to the Internet was shut down, and June 20, 2002, Mr. McCaleb was able to receive e-mails only from employees of the Office of the Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs and from BIA employees. See McCarthy letter at 2. After June 20, 2002, he was also able to receive e-mails from the Office of Special Trustee. *Id.* at 2 n.1. BIA's trust responsibilities were limited to leasing of land resources, collection of funds and computing of funds for distribution. McCaleb Dep. at 30. Therefore, Mr. McCaleb was able to receive by e-mail limited types of trust information from a limited number of DOI employees. While it is possible that the retained backup tapes did not capture all of Mr. McCaleb's e-mails, and it is also possible that one or more of the missing e-mails contained trust information, under these circumstances a finding that he erased from his inbox trust information not captured in the backup tapes rests only on the Special Master's skepticism about Mr. McCaleb's deposition testimony.

3. The Corrected Report incorrectly describes the issue decided in the Master's July 27, 2001 opinion in this matter in stating that "on July 27, 2001, the Special Master reported that Interior had 'ignored its duty to retain and preserve backup tapes of e-mail messages' in

⁴ Some of the messages found by Zantaz might be duplicates, if Mr. McCaleb had not deleted messages between successful Friday backups.

derogation of its trust responsibilities." Corrected Report at 11. While overwriting of backup tapes may violate the discovery obligations imposed by the July 27, 2001 opinion, overwriting does not violate any trust responsibility. The July 27, 2001 opinion was directed solely to Interior's **discovery** obligations, and not to trust responsibilities. The complete sentence from the July 27, 2001 opinion confirms that the opinion pertained to discovery obligations only: "In sum, defendant has ignored its duty to retain and preserve backup tapes of e-mail messages responsive to the Third Formal Request for Production of Documents." July 27, 2001 opinion, at 18. The opinion was occasioned by a discovery dispute – specifically, Interior's Motion for a Protective Order Clarifying Duty to Produce E-Mail Records (filed Aug. 2, 2000). Even the August 12, 1999 Order regarding document retention did not require retention of backup tapes. *See* Attachment 1 to Corrected Report.

4. The Corrected Report contains an extended discussion on Aurene Martin's actions in preparing a draft affidavit for Mr. McCaleb, Corrected Report at 47-51, and concludes that her conduct was "troubling." *Id.* at 51. The entire discussion is gratuitous to the investigation, and the criticism of Ms. Martin is unwarranted and unsupported. On the record developed, there is no basis to question her explanation of the events – that after talking by telephone with Mr. McCaleb about deleted e-mails, she typed up a draft affidavit so that there would be some documentation of the situation close to the time of the initial disclosure. *Id.* at 47-48. That the documentation was in the form of a draft affidavit rather than a memorandum is of no significance. Further, the Special Master specifically found that Ms. Martin had not sought to act as a legal representative of Mr. McCaleb in preparing the documentation. *Id.* at 47. The Master cites no regulation or policy of the Department that prohibited her from assisting Mr. McCaleb in a non-representative capacity. The draft prepared by Ms. Martin was not signed by Mr. McCaleb

and was not submitted to the Special Master or the Court as his sworn declaration. The Special Master also questions why Ms. Martin did not incorporate Jean Maybee's recollections in the draft affidavit. *Id.* at 49-50. The draft affidavit was intended to record Mr. McCaleb's recollection. The record reflects that Ms. Maybee prepared her own statement, and the statement was made available to the Special Master. *Id.* at 50 and Attachment 17.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT D. McCALLUM, JR. Assistant Attorney General

STUART E. SCHIFFER
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

J. CHRISTOPHER KOHN MICHAEL F. HERTZ

Directors

SANDRA P. SPOONER

Deputy Director

D.C. Bar No. 261495

Dodge Wells

Senior Trial Counsel

D.C. Bar No. 425194

Tracy_L. Hilmer

D.C. Bar No. 421219

Trial Attorney

Commercial Litigation Branch

Civil Division

P.O. Box 261

Ben Franklin Station

Washington, D.C. 20044

(202) 307-0474

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury that, on February 10, 2003 I served the foregoing *The Department of the Interior's Objections to Corrected Report of the Special Master Regarding the Deletion of Individual Indian Trust Information by Former Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs Neal McCaleb* by facsimile, in accordance with their written request of October 31, 2001 upon:

Keith Harper, Esq. Native American Rights Fund 1712 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-2976 (202) 822-0068 Dennis M Gingold, Esq. Mark Kester Brown, Esq. 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Ninth Floor Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 318-2372

By U.S. Mail upon:

Elliott Levitas, Esq. 1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, GA 30309-4530

By Hand upon:

Joseph S. Kieffer, III Special Master Monitor 420 7th Street, N.W. Apartment 705 Washington, D.C. 20004

First 25 pages by facsimile; a complete copy to be delivered by hand February 11, 2003 upon:

Alan L. Balaran, Esq. Special Master 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 12th Floor Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 986-8477

Sean P. Schmergel



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Division
Commercial Litigation Branch
P.O. Box 875, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044

Peter B. Miller

Tel. 202-307-0184

Fax 202-307-0494

peter.miller@usdoj.gov

By Hand: 1100 L Street NW, Room 10104, Washington, DC 20005

January 24, 2003

BY FACSIMILE AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

Alan L. Balaran, Esq. Special Master 1717 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, 12th Floor Washington, DC 20006

Re:

Cobell v. Norton - January 21, 2003 Biweekly Report

Dear Mr. Balaran:

As discussed in Interior's portion of the January 21, 2003 biweekly report, we enclose the current status report regarding implementation of the E-Mail Proposal. <u>See</u> Electronic Email Archive System Status Report, January 24, 2003 (first section provided by Interior, second section by ZANTAZ).

(i/i/i

Peter B. Miller

Enclosure

cc:

Distribution list for 1/21/03 biweekly report (see attached list) Sabrina McCarthy, Esq.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury that, on January 21, 2003, I served the foregoing United States' Status Report to the Special Master of January 21, 2003 by facsimile in accordance with their written request of October 31, 2001 upon:

Keith Harper, Esq. Native American Rights Fund 1712 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-2976 202-822-0068

Dennis M Gingold, Esq.
Mark Brown, Esq.
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Ninth Floor
Washington, DC 20004
202-318-2372

and by U.S. Mail upon:

Elliott Levitas, Esq. 1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, GA 30309-4530

and by U.S. Mail and by facsimile upon:

Alan L. Balaran, Esq. Special Master 1717 Pennsylvania Ave., NW 12th Floor Washington, DC 20006 202-986-8477

Joseph S. Kieffer, III, Esq. Special Master-Monitor 420 7th Street, NW Apt 705
Washington, DC 20004 202-478-1958

Sean P. Schmergel

Electronic Email Archive System (EEAS) Status Report January 24, 2003

Live E-mail

On January 15, 2003 the Minerals Management Service (MMS) began transmitting data live to Zantaz. MMS transmitted a total of 110,714 messages which are now stored in Zantaz Digital Safe.

On January 20, 2003 Virtual Private Networks were installed for the National Business Center (NBC) and on January 23, 2003 the NBC began transmitting data live to Zantaz. As of January 22, 2003 a total of 5,272 messages have been transmitted to Zantaz from the NBC.

Restoration

Zantaz has inventoried 1,699 tapes and restored 445 of these tapes from the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA). A total of 2,615,080 unique BIA e-mail messages have been transmitted to Zantaz Digital Safe.

Security

On January 15, 2003 two additional Zantaz contractors were favorably screened. The total number of favorably screened Zantaz contractors is now thirty-three.

Search Request

Zantaz has provided Interior a CD-ROM containing messages sent and received by former Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs Neal McCaleb. This CD-ROM contains all Washington, DC messages present in the Digital Safe on January 14, 2003. There were a total of 7,136 messages found. Of these messages, a total of 105 messages were encrypted.

Privacy Act Notice

The Privacy Act Notice has been revised to include the Office of Surface Mining, the Bureau of Reclamation and the National Business Center and handed carried to the Federal Register on January 23, 2003.

Outstanding Issues:

DOI has requested Zantaz to provide a timeframe when DOI can expect to perform full text searches on Word Perfect documents.



STATUS REPORT FOR DOI

Prepared January 22, 2003

LIVE CAPTURE - IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

Bureau	Date Live Capture Began	Comments	Number of Messages in Digital Safe as of 01/22/03	MB stored in Digital Safe as of 01/22/03
BIA (Includes Office of the Assistant Secretary- Indian Affairs)	December 10, 2002	SMTP Relay Host in place at Reston site. Lotus Notes.	278,556	19,389
MMS	January 15, 2003	MS Exchange	110,714	4,330
NBC (Includes OAS-PMB, OS, and OHTA)	January 23, 2003	Lotus Notes (Messages In safe were captured during 3 hours of implementation testing on 01/21/03)	7,826	456
Catchall- Live Capture		Please see explanation of Catchall Repository on Page 4.	5,272	46
TOTALS			402,368	24,221 MB

Bureau	ESTIMATED Date Live Capture Can Begin	Comments
BLM	January 23, 2003 January 31, 2003	For 2 NT Servers - Lotus Notes For test AIX Servers - Lotus Notes
OST	February 4, 2003	Circuit Date Pending
ОНА	February 6, 2003	Circuit Date Pending
SOL	February 15, 2003	Circuit Date Pending
BOR	Late February 2003	GroupWise email product
OSM	Late February 2003	GroupWise email product

ZANTAZ STATUS REPORT FOR DOI --- 01/22/03 Continued

UNIQUE TAPE MESSAGES IN DIGITAL SAFE AS OF JANUARY 22, 2003

BIA

2,615,080 messages

189,864 MB of storage

*CATCHALL

20,562 messages

343 MB of storage

TOTALS FROM TAPE PROCESSING 2,635,642 messages

190,207MB of storage

COMPLETED BIA TAPE PROCESSING AS OF JANUARY 22, 2003

Location	Total Non-Gap Tapes	Total Gap Tapes	Total Tape Count	MB of Raw Tape Input Data Prior to Storing Unique Messages
Aberdeen	0	0	0	0
Albuquerque	0	0	0	0
Anadarko	0	O	Q	0
Billings	42	71	113	1,323,528
Juneau	6	37	43	
Minneapolis	0	11	11	118,274
Phoenix	0	O	O	0
Portland	32	62	94	1,035,178
Reston	16	30	46	569,750
Washington DC	30	108	138	2,609,538
Totals	126	319	445	5,917,085

TAPES CURRENTLY INVENTORIED AND STORED AT ZANTAZ

ABE

208 178

386

ALB

39 104

Page 2 of 5

143

AND

^{*} Please see explanation of Catchall Repository on Page 4.

ZANTAZ "STATUS REPORT FOR DOI -- 01/22/03 Continued

31 59 90

556 1143 1699

Non-Gap Gap Total Tapes

There are also 500 tapes from SOL inventoried and stored at Zantaz.

INITIAL AUDIT OF NEAL McCALEB EMAIL MESSAGES

As requested by DOI, Zantaz performed an Audit seeking messages where Neal McCaleb was either the Sender or the Recipient. This Audit included all messages present in the Digital Safe on January 14, 2003. This Audit is identified in the Zantaz tracking system as RQST2419.

There were 7,136 messages found that met the search criteria. Of these messages, 105 messages were encrypted. Zantaz sent a CD with the Audit results in NSF format to Sabrina McCarthy. Earlier today, Kimberly James of Zantaz worked with Sabrina to familiarize her with the format of the audit results.

LOTUS NOTES ENCRYPTION ISSUES

OVERVIEW- The Lotus Notes email product provides the user the ability to encrypt messages on a message-by-message basis. The header of an encrypted message is NOT encrypted, but the body of the message is encrypted. The Lotus Notes product is designed to prevent the transfer of encrypted messages outside of the Lotus Notes environment. Thus, by Lotus design, only the header portion of an encrypted message was initially able to be stored in the Zantaz Digital Safe.

LIVE CAPTURE - Zantaz has now developed a process in which an encrypted message can be captured as an attachment and stored in the digital safe. The target date for having this new process available for DOI Live Capture of messages is January 29.

TAPE PROCESSING - Zantaz developed a similar process to capture encrypted messages during tape restoration. This new process is already in place for tapes being processed at this time. All of the original data is retained as an attachment. The data continues to be encrypted.

Zantaz will reprocess the tapes that were processed prior to completion of the new tape processing solution described above.

Page 3 of 5	Figure 4 il Objective I of Interactificace Reparts from Zeroes to DONE incom019200.



EXPLANATION OF CATCHALL REPOSITORY

Zantaz has a Domain for storage of DOI Live Capture messages and a separate Domain for storage of Tape Restoration messages. Within each of these domains are Repositories for each Bureau or Office specified by DOI.

Within each Domain, there is also a repository called Catchall. The Catchall Repository is used for the storage of all messages containing header information that cannot be indexed properly. Several common problems are that the headers can be formatted incorrectly or it can contain unknown character sets.

Zantaz supports RFC1522 MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) standard as defined by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). If the message header is coded improperly (for any reason) the entire email message in considered unparseable and is stored in the Catchall Repository.

Messages with an overall size greater than 30 MB cannot be indexed, so they are stored in Catchall.

Use of the Catchall Repository insures that no messages are lost even if the formatting of a message is flawed. Audits performed by Zantaz staff always check the Catchall Repository. (Catchall Tape and Catchall Live were both checked as a part of the Neal McCaleb Audit). Special techniques are used in searching Catchall using the Web Interface.

Zantaz continually seeks to improve the techniques for indexing messages. When enhancements are developed, Zantaz routinely reprocesses messages in Catchall to direct more of the messages into the specific repositories to which they were originally directed.

```
Page 1
  1
               UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
  2
  3
      ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et al.,
  4
  5
            Plaintiffs,
  6
                  v.
                                           No. 96-1285
      GALE NORTON, et al.,
  7
  8
            Defendant.
  9
          CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
10
11
                                Washington, D.C.
12
                                Friday, December 6, 2002
13
                 Deposition of NEAL A. McCALEB, a
     witness herein, called for examination by Special
14
     Master Balaran in the above-entitled matter, the
15
     witness being duly sworn by PAUL GASPAROTTI, a
16
     Notary Public in and for the State of Maryland,
17
     taken at the offices of DFI International, 1717
18
     Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., at
19
     10:05 a.m., Friday, December 6, 2002, and the
20
     proceedings being taken down by Stenotype by PAUL
21
     GASPAROTTI, and transcribed under his direction.
22
23
24
25
```

	•	D 2			
		Page 2		Page	: 4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES: On behalf of the Plaintiff: DENNIS GINGOLD, ESQ. 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 On behalf of the Defendant: AMALIA KESSLER, ESQ. U.S. Department of Justice 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 10030	Page 2	business address at Do 1849 C Street, N.W., called as a witness by having been duly swo examined and testified EXAMIN BY MR. BALA	EDINGS McCALEB, epartment of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, was the Special Master, and m by the Notary Public, was d as follows: NATION	: 4
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 307-0010 On behalf of the Witness: B. MICHAEL RAUH, ESQ JULIE CAMPBELL, ESQ. Mannat, Phelps & Phillips 1501 M Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005 ALSO PRESENT: SHANA GREATMAN, ESQ.		like to thank you for c schedule is quite press time that you have tak for me. By way of int Balaran. In February the Honorable Roy C. District Court for the I position of special mas the Federal Rules of C At the time, my encompassed deciding case captioned Cobell	oming here. I know your sing and I appreciate the en to answer some questions roduction, my name is Alan of 1999 I was appointed by Lamberth of the United States District of Columbia to the ster pursuant to Rule 53 of Evil Procedure. To order of reference to discovery disputes in the v. Norton, 96-1285. That mately was expanded in	
		Page 3		Page (5

CONTENTS 1 2 WITNESS **EXAMINATION BY** 3 NEAL A. McCALEB SPECIAL MASTER 4 By Mr. Balaran 5 6 EXHIBITS 7 McCALEB EXHIBIT NO. FOR IDENTIFICATION 8 1 BIA News Release of 7/2/01 17 9 2 McCarthy letter of 10/16/02 47 Balaran letter of 10/20/02 57 10 11 McCarthy letter of 11/8/02 68 12 McCaleb Declaration of 13 11/19/02 6 McCaleb Affidavit, unsigned 14 15 and undated 16 Interior's Policies on 17 Retaining, Printing and Filing Cobell related e-mail 18 19 8 Court Order of 9/30/02 20 9 Deposition Notice of 10/4/02 129 21 10 Document relating to trust 22 management and IIM accounts 147 23 24

25

preservation and retention of all trust documents and records. It's pursuant to that amended order 3 of reference that I have asked you to come here. 4 Okay? 5 A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, the topic of discussion 6 today will be related to the deletion of e-mails during the ten-month period between December 2001 and October 2002. Do you understand that? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. As a preliminary matter, as you probably notice, we are not from the same neighborhood and I tend to talk quite quickly. So at any point in time if you don't understand a 15 question, if you want me to back up, if you want me to slow down, I encourage you to please just ask me to do so. I have no problem whatsoever repeating things any number of times until you are 18 19 absolutely satisfied that you understand the 20 question as posed. Okay? 21 A. Very good. 22 Q. I will, however, assume that if you don't ask me for any clarification whatsoever that 23 24 you did understand the question, and that I will

take your answer as in fact responsive to that

		 , , ,	2.0.	
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	question. All right? A. That's fine. Q. If you need at any time to take a break, all you need to do is simply ask. The only thing I will ask you, however, is that you don't ask for a break while a question is pending, only so we keep the integrity of the process and nobody can come screaming later. A. I understand.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25	A. Aurene Martin was appointed to that role as a Presidential appointee. Q. And what is that role exactly? A. She's my chief deputy, and discharges the discharge of the instructions that I give and the policies that I provide her. Q. What's the difference between that and the management functions that Mr. McDivitt assumes? A. His are primarily administration functions, whereas Miss Martin is responsible for working directly with the tribal leaders, advising me on legal issues relative to a variety of things, because it is a government-to-government relationship between the 563 tribes and the United States government, and they have a vast legal history, most of them do, so that's a fairly complex undertaking. Q. And you say that Mr. McCaleb assumes administrative oversight, is that fair to say? A. Yes. Q. Would that include the budget? A. Yes. Q. What other functions would be subsumed under Mr. McDivitt's role as administrative	Page 8
1 2 3	position? A. I was sworn to that office on July 4th, 2001.	2	manager? A. Chief information officer. The chief human resources officer. The chief financial	Page 9

I		
ı	1	position?
I	2	A. I was sworn to that office on July 4th,
I	2 3 4 5	2001.
l	4	Q. Can you tell me what your
ı	5	responsibilities are as the Assistant Secretary
l	6	for Indian Affairs at the Department of Interior?
ĺ	7	A. Well, the oversight of the Bureau of
	8	Indian Affairs is the primary responsibility,
	9	reporting directly to the Secretary of the
	10	Interior. As an assistant secretary, I'm part of
	11	her office.
	12	Q. And who reports to you?
	13	A. The Deputy Commissioner of Indian
	14	Affairs, which is the chief operating officer of
	15	the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the deputy
	16	assistant secretary of Indian affairs. And I
	17	actually have two deputy assistant secretaries;
	18	one is a manager of administration
	19	Q. And who would that person be?
	20	A. James McDivitt.
	21	Q. And wasn't he in fact in the position
	22	of the acting assistant secretary prior to your
	23	taking over this position in July?

Q. Okay. And who else is the other?

24

25

A. Yes.

officer. And then, those are the primary reports, and then you know, secretaries and miscellaneous support personnel. Q. Am I to understand you as saying that Mr. McDivitt is the CFO of the BIA? A. No, the chief financial officer is 10 Debbie Clark. Q. So when you --11 12 A. She reports to Mr. McDivitt. Q. I see. So when you said the CIO and CHRO and the CFO, you were talking about a chain of command that reports to him, correct? 15 16 A. Yes. I understood that to be the 17 question, and I apologize. 18 Q. Not at all. And the CIO is whom? 19 A. Bryan Burns. 20 Q. And is Mr. Burns in an acting or full 21 capacity? 22 A. Full capacity. 23 Q. When did he assume his position? 24 A. Late June or early July of 2002.

Q. And prior to Mr. Burns assuming the

25

		Page 10	Page 12
1	position as CIO in July 2002, who had that		1 contracted with by
1 2			2 A. Yes, Electronic Data Systems.
3	•		3 Q. Just as a matter of procedure, you have
4			4 to let me finish the question.
5			5 A. I'm sorry.
6	Q. The nice thing is they never get picked		6 Q. Otherwise, that gets him angry also.
7	up on the tape, so we can have as many as we like		7 And EDS is the organization that was contracted by
8	between the two of us, so not to worry.		8 OST to oversee or report on the status of trust
9			9 reform?
10	got there.		10 A. I'll try to tell you my understanding
11	Q. Okay. So Miss Clark at one point was		11 of why they were employed. In the summer of '01,
12			12 they were engaged by the then special trustee at
13			13 that time to evaluate the progress that was being
14	A. Yes.		14 made on the Trust Asset and Accounting Management
15	Q. Did you pick Mr. Bryan Burns for the		15 System, TAAMS. That employment was expanded to
16	position of CIO?		16 take a look at all aspects of trust management.
17	A. I did.		17 Q. And who expanded the scope of their
18	Q. Based on what qualifications?		18 contract?
19	A. He had previously been the deputy CIO		19 A. Well, the special trustee did, upon
20	at the Department of Health and Human Services,		20 approval of the Secretary.
21	which is a much larger agency than the Bureau of		Q. And isn't it in fact the case that
22	Indian Affairs. And also, he dealt with the		22 their reports constitute the quarterly reports to
23	Indian Health Service in their information		23 the Court?
24	systems, so he had a frame of reference of Indian		24 A. No, I don't think that's accurate.
25	issues. But primarily because of his apparent		25 They didn't prepare any of the quarterly they
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Page 11	Page 13
1	technical knowledge and disposition as a manager.		1 didn't prepare any information prior to the eighth
2	Q. And he reports directly to		2 report, and the eighth report and the subsequent
3	Mr. McDivitt?		3 reports were primarily prepared by Ross Swimmer,
4	A. We've changed that slightly. He did		4 who is the director of the Office of Indian Trust
5	report directly to Mr. McDivitt. We're going to		5 Transition.
6	reorganize it to where he reports directly to the		6 Q. And do you know what information Ross
7	assistant secretary. We have just published a new		7 Swimmer obtained in order to draft those quarterly
8 9	reorganization chart, it hasn't been approved by		8 reports you're referring to?
10	Congress yet, but he will be the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Information Resource Management.		9 A. Well, all the participants in the trust
11	Q. And who will he report to?		10 reform process reported to him directly, or
12	A. Me, or to the assistant secretary.		11 reported to him directly. I'm advised that he did 12 use many aspects of the EDS report, and in fact
13	Q. I see. Were you the architect of this		 12 use many aspects of the EDS report, and in fact 13 may have incorporated sections of it in their
14	reorganization plan?		13 may have incorporated sections of it in their 14 entirety into the report.
15	A. I was an active participant in it along		15 Q. Issues related to information
16	with a number of people, Donna Irvin, who is the		16 technology are under the supervision of Bryan
17	acting special trustee, because the reorganization	ĺ	17 Burns; is that correct?
18	was designed to try to integrate these two		18 A. In the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that's
19	activities more closely in keeping with EDS's		19 correct.
20	recommendations for having a more integrated role		20 Q. Okay.
21	of these two functions under one single executive		21 A. And the assistant secretaries' offices,
22	sponsor, which in this case will be the deputy		22 all of them.
23	secretary.		23 Q. Let's talk about your office in
24	Q. And when you're referring to EDS,	ĺ	24 particular for a moment. Who is your staff
25	you're referring to the organization that was		25 assistant?
			1

			ı		
		Page 14		P	Page 16
1	A. Jean Maybee.		1	Q. And do you believe that her	
2	Q. And how long has she been your staff			esponsibilities for filing the information that	
3	assistant?		3 cc	omes to your office are in her ish description?	
4	A. Since I came to the office. She was		4	omes to your office are in her job description?	
5	there before I came, as the staff assistant to			A. You know, I have never seen her job	
6	Sharon Blackwell. The offices are configured in			escription. I just developed that relationship	
7	such a way that has are as is between the to		6 w	ith her as we went along, and she seemed ready to	
	such a way that her space is between the two		7 pr	rovide those services, and I direct her to do the	
8	offices and she provided that service when I got		8 fil	ling, send notes and memos, to say file this or	
9	there and I never saw a reason to change.		9 se	end this, or direct this correspondence to so and	
10	Q. Does she still provide staff assistant		10 so	o, and she does so.	
11	services to Mr. Verdon?		11	Q. Is it your happen to send notes and	
12	A. Yes, but on a more limited basis. She		12 m	emos when you want something carried out by Miss	
13	provides staff assistance now to myself and to		13 M	laybee?	
14	Aurene Martin.		14	A. To the extent that when correspondence	
15	Q. Isn't it a fact that Aurene Martin took		15 co	omes in, yes, I will put a sticky on it and say	
16	over Miss Sharon Blackwell's office?		16 thi	is goes to Aurene Martin, with a note to her	
17	A. Yes.		17 wh	hat I would like her to do. It's really as much	l
18	Q. I see. Was that the reason that she is		18 of	a note for direction of it and to the people	
19	now servicing both of you?		19 tha	at I expect to respond.	l
20	A. Proximity has something to do with it,		20		
21	yes, I think. Convenience.			Q. I was just wondering about your general	
22	Q. Okay. Anybody else in terms of your		21 wc	ork habits. It's my work habit to scream and	
23	staff that you can mention, who report to you?		22 ye.	ll, and I never sort of put anything on paper.	
24	A. Well, I think I mentioned Mr. Verdon,		23	A. I'm afraid I'm a screamer myself,	
25	the Deputy Commission on of Latina Affair Affair Affair		24 tha	at's the reason I like to have proximity, so	
23	the Deputy Commissioner of Indian Affairs. There		25 tha	at I can speak up and get a response.	
		Page 15		D.	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is a Mr. Mike Talley, who is a special assistant on infrastructure, primarily roads. Those are the ones that I can think of. Q. Anybody just from an administrative perspective who helps you with the filing or helps actually work in the office to make sure that we have A. Well, we have excuse me, sorry. Q. You understood the question. A. We have two to three people that are in the foyer of the office that answer the telephones and see to the, call it housekeeping activities of the office. Q. What is Miss Maybee's title? A. I don't know that anybody has ever told me what her title is. I've always referred to her as administrative assistant. Q. What are the titles of the two or three individuals in the foyer who answer the telephones, do you know? A. I don't know. Q. Who's responsible for filing in your office?	Page 15	2 als: 3 not 4 5 6 spe 7 8 not 9 10 offi 11 or r 12 13 for 14 15 don 16 17 18 we: 19 20 21 22	Q. But from what I'm understanding, you so, you supplement your screaming with Post-It tes and other written communications. A. Right. Q. And those communications actually ecify what your instructions are? A. Right, and e-mail of course. If she's there at her desk, I will send her an e-mail. Q. Okay. When you first took the lice I don't know if you have ever seen this mot. I'm handing you a document MR. RAUH: Is that going to be marked identification? MR. BALARAN: Yes, we can. I just of that any exhibit tabs. MR. RAUH: I think the reporter does. MR. BALARAN: Okay, thanks. Why don't mark this as Exhibit 1. (McCaleb Exhibit 1 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. This is a document that I pulled of the	ge 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on infrastructure, primarily roads. Those are the ones that I can think of. Q. Anybody just from an administrative perspective who helps you with the filing or helps actually work in the office to make sure that we have A. Well, we have excuse me, sorry. Q. You understood the question. A. We have two to three people that are in the foyer of the office that answer the telephones and see to the, call it housekeeping activities of the office. Q. What is Miss Maybee's title? A. I don't know that anybody has ever told me what her title is. I've always referred to her as administrative assistant. Q. What are the titles of the two or three individuals in the foyer who answer the telephones, do you know? A. I don't know. Q. Who's responsible for filing in your	Page 15	2 als 3 not 4 5 6 spe 7 8 not 9 10 offi 11 or r 12 13 for 14 15 don 16 17 18 we: 19 20 21 22 web 24 Burd	Q. But from what I'm understanding, you so, you supplement your screaming with Post-It tes and other written communications. A. Right. Q. And those communications actually ecify what your instructions are? A. Right, and e-mail of course. If she's there at her desk, I will send her an e-mail. Q. Okay. When you first took the lice I don't know if you have ever seen this mot. I'm handing you a document MR. RAUH: Is that going to be marked identification? MR. BALARAN: Yes, we can. I just o't have any exhibit tabs. MR. RAUH: I think the reporter does. MR. BALARAN: Okay, thanks. Why don't mark this as Exhibit 1. (McCaleb Exhibit 1 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN:	nge 17

		Page 18			Page 20
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	as Interior Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, Secretary Norton Lauds Senate's Action. Have you ever seen this document before? A. Yes, I have. Q. Take a look at it for a moment and tell me if the statements made in this document are accurate. A. (Perusing.) To the best of my knowledge. I really had no previous knowledge of Mr. McDivitt's service, having not been there. Q. But how about the information relating to you and your functions, are they accurate? A. Yes, they are. Q. Let me turn you to the second page, which is noticed page 2 of 2. It states in the only full paragraph on that page, The Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs has responsibilities and promoting self determination on behalf of tribal governments, American Indians and Alaskan Natives. The Assistant Secretary is also responsible for providing services to approximately 1.4 million American Indians and Alaskan Natives who are members of the 558 federally recognized tribes. Is that accurate?		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	with the Office of Special Trustee on a regular basis as a participant or cochair actually of the Trust Improvement Management Project, TMIP. we coordinated the activities of the participants in that committee to address the breaches that were contained in the '99 opinion or decision of the judge. Q. And when you say we, this is you and Tom Slonaker? A. That's correct. Q. And Tom Slonaker being the former special trustee? A. Correct. Q. And when you say that you coordinated, was this a coordination that was done prior to every meeting, did you actually discuss the agenda, and then go in? A. Actually, Tom prepared the agenda. Q. And in what way did you contribute to the meeting itself? A. Initially my contributions were very limited, having been there not having been there before. The July contributions were fairly limited. Early August I began to become more involved. The last half of August I was on the	And
		Page 19			Page 21

Page 19

8

9

21

22

23

Page 21

- A. It was at the time. Q. Is that no longer accurate? A. Well, a lot of the trust responsibilities that the previous assistant secretaries did are now under the direct supervision of the deputy secretary. Q. When did that change take place? A I would say between September, October,
- November, it was kind of a transition, but it 10 became fully operable by November of 2001. Q. 2001. So, do I understand that you had 11
- trust responsibility between July 2001 and roughly 12 13 November 2001? 14
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And between sometime in November 2001, 15 16 those responsibilities were taken over by Steven 17
- 18 A. Many of them under the proposed 19 reorganization called for the creation of Bureau of Indian Trust Asset Management. That was submitted to the Court in mid-November.
- Q. During the period between July 2001 and 22 November 2001, what were your responsibilities 23
- vis-a-vis the tribes? 25
 - A. Well, as the assistant secretary, I met

- road. And then beginning in September, the 2 transition where we were, the going began to
- develop and the greater involvement of the deputy
- secretary in about that time, Mr. Kayson, the
- associate deputy secretary came on board, and we became very much involved.
 - Q. Can you give me examples of ways that you became more involved in August of 2001 in the TMIP meetings?
- 10 A. Well, the agenda began to mean 11 something to me. I was, had gotten enough 12 information and background to be able to ask 13 pertinent questions and to have some understanding of the answers, because I had, you know, no background in terms of the organization and how things were being done. I had read -- the high 17 level improvement plan was my only orientation to 18 the TMIP. 19
- Q. But you said you cochaired this. I have reviewed all the agendas for all the TMIP 20 steering committee meetings and I have never seen your name as a cochair. Could you explain that?
 - A. I can't explain it.
- 24 Q. Have you seen the agendas themselves? 25 I mean, I can pull them out, I didn't think we'd

		,, asimi	5.011, 13		
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	the agendas themselves? A. Yes, I have copies of the agendas which I attended in my files. Q. Do you have a recollection as to whether your name was on there as cochair? A. I don't have a recollection. Tom would always refer to me as a cochair. Q. Did you actually direct the meetings themselves, did you assist with that? A. Tom directed the meetings. Q. So for instance, if the number of topics, if there were eight topics to be discussed, who if anybody decided how long a specific topic would be discussed? A. That was usually a function of how much	Page 22	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interest to me, so if counsel can bear with me for a few minutes. Did you begin attending these meetings when you first assumed office in July 2001? A. I don't know. I would have to go back and look, but I think my first meeting I attended was in late July. Q. And you first took office July 4th, is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Are you aware that Miss Blackwell, who at that time was the Deputy Commissioner for Indian Affairs had requested assistance, financial assistance to shore up Interior's computer systems or BIA's computer systems, for how they were processing Indian Trust data? A. I became aware of that, yes. Q. How did you become aware of that? A. Because she told me. Q. Are you aware that — strike that. Do you know whether or not Miss Blackwell ever went to a TMIP meeting and requested funding to assist her in shoring up these systems? A. I don't have a specific memory of that. Q. Do you have any knowledge whether or	Page 24
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the Special Trustee, and I felt it was my job to bring those two organizations into closer concurrence and teamwork. Q. Can you explain to me what the source of that tension was between the two organizations? A. Not really. I mean, I tried to ferret that out as I went along. I think a lot of it went back to when the Office of Trust Fund Management was transferred out of the Bureau of Indian Affairs and into OST. Bureaucracies have a way of developing, being very territorial about those kinds of things and I thought, my opinion is that there was some residual resentment at many levels within the organization. Q. Were you successful in any	Page 23	2 1 3 1 4 1 5 6 5 7 1 8 9 1 1 1 2 6 1 1 3 1 4 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 5 1	not Miss Blackwell was able to ultimately receive the funds that she was requesting to shore up BIA's computer systems that housed and processed Indian Trust data? A. She received some funds. I don't think she received the funds to the extent that she requested them. Q. Did you assist her in her effort to receive these funds? A. The budget when I arrived, the budget year was approaching expiration, we were in the end of the third quarter, and those funds were committed. We talked about funds for the '03 budget and in fact we did include additional funds in the '03 budget for the Office of Information	Page 25

rapprochement in having sort of this concurrence? A. Yes, I think so. Tom and I discussed the decisions that were made and concurred when we could, and most of the time we did concur. And we met privately, he and I, on numerous occasions to discuss issues that were of mutual concern to us.

Q. I'm only going to touch on this for a few more moments. I realize we're going a bit afield and I apologize for that, but you've

16

17

21

22

23

24

brought up some facts that were somewhat of some

general memory is that I was supportive of that with her.

Q. My question was a little different.

Did you assist her in her quest, if you will, to

A. I can't recall a specific instance or

activity in which I assisted. My impression, my

secure additional funds to shore up BIA's computer

24 25 Q. Okay. I'm going to let that go.

Resource Management.

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

systems?

Trust Transition.

Q. And it's headed by whom?

Assistant Secretary left with any trust

A. Well, the definition of trust

Bureau of Indian Affairs to be a trust

responsibility is always at issue in Indian

Q. Were you left with, the Office of the

responsibilities following this transition that

you say took place sometime in August 2001?

country. Our Indian beneficiaries, especially the

tribes, consider everything that is done by the

A. Ross Swimmer.

15

16

17

19

23

25

1	•			
	Page 2	6		Page 28
	You stated there came a time that there was a transition that went over to Mr. Griles and Associate Deputy Secretary Mr. Kayson. Can you tell me what the causes of that transition were, what led up to that transition? A. Well, I think as Mr. Griles and Mr. Kayson became more apprised of the circumstances, they felt, and as EDS's report, I think EDS report probably was the principal engine of change. Q. Are you speculating or do you know this for a fact based on a meeting, something you've heard or something you have seen in writing? A. Well, I attended numerous meetings with them and the EDS report, draft copies of the report were beginning to come in in October, late September and October, and I attended several meetings with them along with Tom Slonaker, and then we began to talk about reorganization and Mr. Slonaker and myself worked with both Mr. Griles and Mr. Kayson in that reorganization, and ultimately both signed off on the proposed reorganization. Q. My question to you, again, was slightly		responsibilities as delineated in the 1994 Trust Reform Act. A. The fiduciary, can I define those as fiduciary trust responsibilities? That's how he we delineate and differentiate. Q. That's fine. Can you tell me what fiduciary responsibilities were left after this transition over to Ross Swimmer's group in approximately October of 2001, the reorganization that you addressed? A. Yeah. The Bureau of Indian Affairs is responsible at the agency level where they actually interface with the individual Indian money account holders and they are the clerks, if you please, that service those accounts when they come in. And to that extent the BIA and therefore I, were still involved in those activities. But the control of the organization and the	
	Page 27			Page 29
1 2		1	fact gravitate to the deputy secretary and to his	
3	,	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	assigns or delegee, Mr. Kayson, and Mr. Swimmer.	
4		4	Q. So again, after this transition of trust responsibilities and again, we will use the	
5		5	word trust as you defined it, fiduciary	
6	Q. Well, this is a transition that	6	responsibilities, what did BIA have left, what was	
7	basically took, as I understand it, some of the	7	left in its quiver of trust responsibilities?	
8	trust responsibilities away from the BIA and put	8	A. Education.	
9	them under different auspices, correct?	9	Q. Now again, I have to ask you, I'm	ľ
10 11		10	equating trust with fiduciary responsibilities.	
12	Q. Okay.	111	A. Oh, I'm sorry.	
13	A. And as a result of that, a proposed new reorganization, they created the Office of Indian	12	Q. That's quite all right.	
14	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	MR. RAUH: Mr. Balaran, you're asking	

after this transition took place, what

your perspective as the Assistant Secretary of

Indian Affairs during this transition period.

Q. My question, just to repeat it is,

MR. BALARAN: Oh, I'm only asking from

22 responsibilities were left for BIA vis-a-vis the 23 beneficiaries for example, or what fiduciary

14 from the point of a view of BIA, not from the

24

responsibilities did it now have?

25

15 point of view of the Indians --

MR. RAUH: Vis-a-vis the deputy

BY MR. BALARAN:

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

16

17

18

19

20

21

			1		
		Page 30			Page 32
1	3		1	BY MR. BALARAN:	
2			2	Q. Yes, and I would offer some more	
3			3	questions	
4			4	A. I think I previously named them.	
5			5	Q. Okay. We will assume that all	
6	The state of the s		6	questions relate to that office.	
7	computation of the funds for distribution to the		7	Who is in charge of insuring the	
8	trustees consistent with the records of		8	security of information that resides on the	
9	fractionated ownership.		9	BIANET?	
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		10	A. The CIO.	
11	A. From a fiduciary standpoint, those are		11	Q. And that would be Mr. Burns?	
12			12	A. Now it is, yes.	
14	Q. What was taken away? A. Well		13	Q. And that would be a function that still	
15	Q. And let me make it more clear for the		14	exists within the Office of the Assistant	
16	record. What responsibilities, trust		15	Secretary for Indian Affairs?	
17	responsibilities were taken away from the Office		16	A. Yes. I can remember the previous CIO,	
18	of the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs		17 18	Mr. Dom Nessi was the previous CIO.	
19	during the transition you referred to?		19	Q. The famous Don Nessi?	
20	A. The management of the information		20	A. The famous Dom Nessi, yes.	
21	systems. The data cleanup activities. The		21	Q. Prior to coming on board in July of	
22	probate, the control of the probate activities;		22	2001, did any of the jobs that you previously had involve trust or fiduciary responsibilities?	
23	there are still a lot of detailed probate		23	A. No.	
24	activities done by the BIA, but the basic control		24	Q. And have you taken any courses in trust	
25	and direction of probate. Obviously the actual		25	or fiduciary law or anything of the sort?	
l	i a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		23	or reductary law or anything of the soft?	
1					
		Page 31			Page 33
1		Page 31	1		Page 33
1 2	disbursement of the funds had long since before I	Page 31	1 2	A. No. My only experience was I served as	Page 33
i .	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office	Page 31	2	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years.	Page 33
2 3 4	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had	Page 31		A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank?	Page 33
2 3	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by	Page 31	2 3	 A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. 	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the	Page 31	2 3 4	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank?	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM,	Page 31	2 3 4 5	 A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee 	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients.	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank.	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of?	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department.	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the records, were moved to Albuquerque into their	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any training whatsoever in trust?	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the records, were moved to Albuquerque into their records section of the Office of Special Trustee.	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any training whatsoever in trust? A. No.	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the records, were moved to Albuquerque into their records section of the Office of Special Trustee. Q. I guess my question is a little more	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any training whatsoever in trust? A. No. Q. Did anybody sit down with you and go	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the records, were moved to Albuquerque into their records section of the Office of Special Trustee. Q. I guess my question is a little more focused. I'm asking what responsibilities the	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any training whatsoever in trust? A. No. Q. Did anybody sit down with you and go over the 1994 Trust Reform Act?	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the records, were moved to Albuquerque into their records section of the Office of Special Trustee. Q. I guess my question is a little more focused. I'm asking what responsibilities the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Indian	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any training whatsoever in trust? A. No. Q. Did anybody sit down with you and go over the 1994 Trust Reform Act? A. No.	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the records, were moved to Albuquerque into their records section of the Office of Special Trustee. Q. I guess my question is a little more focused. I'm asking what responsibilities the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs was stripped of as a result of the	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any training whatsoever in trust? A. No. Q. Did anybody sit down with you and go over the 1994 Trust Reform Act? A. No. Q. Have you read it?	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the records, were moved to Albuquerque into their records section of the Office of Special Trustee. Q. I guess my question is a little more focused. I'm asking what responsibilities the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs was stripped of as a result of the transition that took place in August 2001, not events that took place prior or for other reasons.	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any training whatsoever in trust? A. No. Q. Did anybody sit down with you and go over the 1994 Trust Reform Act? A. No. Q. Have you read it? A. Yes.	Page 33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	disbursement of the funds had long since before I ever arrived been taken from BIA into the Office of Trust Fund Management. When they took the Office of Trust Fund Management into OST, that had been a BIA function but it had been subsumed by the Office of Special Trustee, which means all the funds that were collected by the BIA went to OTFM, and they were computed and distributed by OTFM to the recipients. Q. Anything else that you can recall that for lack of a better word, that your office was stripped of? A. Records is an important issue. This was before I came also. The records of the agencies, which were the primary source of the records, were moved to Albuquerque into their records section of the Office of Special Trustee. Q. I guess my question is a little more focused. I'm asking what responsibilities the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs was stripped of as a result of the transition that took place in August 2001, not	Page 31	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. My only experience was I served as a bank board member for several years. Q. And that bank was what bank? A. Memorial Bank of Oklahoma City. Q. What were your functions as a bank board member? A. We reviewed loan a credit committee I served on reviewed loan applications and the oversight of the policies of the bank. Q. Would trust responsibilities, and when I talk about trust we're talking about fiduciary responsibilities, a part of your A. We did not have a trust department. Q. Okay. When you came on board, were you given the benefit of any classes, any courses, any training whatsoever in trust? A. No. Q. Did anybody sit down with you and go over the 1994 Trust Reform Act? A. No. Q. Have you read it?	Page 33

24

25

A. I don't remember that they did inform

me of any specific responsibilities.

_			- ·		
		Page 34			Page 36
1	A. Well, it may be attributed to my lack		1	Q. Did anybody at the Department of	
2	of understanding.		2	Justice ever inform you of your trust	
3	Q. Or mine.		3	responsibilities, the fact that you had trust	
4	 I did try to acquaint myself with the 		4	responsibilities as the assistant secretary?	
5	Act and with the high level improvement plan that		5	A. No.	
6	was designed to address the Court breaches.		6	Q. Did anybody from the Department of	
7	Q. Was there any formal orientation that		7	Justice ever tell you what your responsibilities	
8	you undertook that went into the high level plan		8	were, your trust responsibilities as Assistant	
9	or went into the 1994 Trust Reform Act?		9	Secretary for Indian Affairs?	
10	, 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		10	A. Not that I can recall.	
11	briefings, but I can't recall them.		11	Q. Would it be a fair characterization to	
12	Q. Did you receive any informal		12	say that your understanding of what your	
13	orientations concerning the high level plan or the		13	responsibilities were as Assistant Secretary for	
14	1994 Trust Reform Act, and when I say informal,		14	Indian Affairs sort of came about as on-the-job	
15	not in a classroom setting?		15	training?	
16	A. Discussions, yes. Discussions with		16	A. That would be the term that I would	
17	Miss Blackwell, and to a lesser degree,		17	use, yes.	
18	Mr. McDivitt, those two primarily, and to some		18	Q. Can you tell me what you believe an	
19	degree I think with representatives of the		19	Indian Trust record is?	
20	solicitor's office.		20	A. Well, I believe any record that relates	
21	Q. And the representatives of the		21	to the accounting or asset management of a tribe	
22	solicitor's office gave you an orientation on what		22	or individual Indian Money Account holder is such	
23	your responsibilities were vis-a-vis the trust?		23	a record.	
24 25	A. Yes, some.		24	Q. Okay. And would that include	
23	Q. What were those responsibilities? What		25	correspondence?	
		Page 35			Page 37
1	did they tell you your responsibilities were?		1	A. It could include correspondence, yes.	
2	MS. KESSLER: Objection. That's		2	Q. Could you give me some examples of	
3	getting into attorney-client communication.		3	documents that you feel would fit your definition?	
4	MR. BALARAN: I think there is no		4	A. A lease, a contract for the sale of	
5	attorney-client communication involved if they're		5	resources like timber or other natural resources	İ
6	telling you what your responsibilities are to the		6	that were inherent from the land. Obviously	
7	trust. It's clearly not work product in the sense		7	financial accounting, correspondence that related	
8	that it's not related to the specificity of		- 8	to any financial accounting. And to a degree,	
9	litigation, and I don't think it covers here. I		9	correspondence that related to the high level	
10	think the fiduciary exceptions	ĺ	10	improvement plan itself.	
11	MS. KESSLER: As long as he doesn't		11	Q. And how did you come by this	
12	address litigation.		12	understanding, this definition that you have just	
13	MR. BALARAN: And I'm not, I'm		13	given me?	
14	certainly going to give you a wide berth as far as	İ	14	A. I think that's probably intuitive.	
15	any communications you've had with counsel, so let		15	Q. Did there come a point in time that	
16	me go back to my question so we're absolutely	ļ	16	anybody from the Office of the Solicitor either in	
17	clear.	ĺ	17	form or in substance gave you a definition of	
10		1			8 66
18	BY MR. BALARAN:	I	18	Indian Trust records?	ľ
19	BY MR. BALARAN: Q. What did anybody at the Office of the		19	A. That was discussed at length beginning	
19 20	BY MR. BALARAN: Q. What did anybody at the Office of the Solicitor inform you that your responsibilities as		19 20	A. That was discussed at length beginning in September, because there was	1000 Company of the C
19 20 21	BY MR. BALARAN: Q. What did anybody at the Office of the Solicitor inform you that your responsibilities as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, and when I		19 20 21	A. That was discussed at length beginning in September, because there was Q. September of what year?	C EX 12.25 (19.25)
19 20	BY MR. BALARAN: Q. What did anybody at the Office of the Solicitor inform you that your responsibilities as		19 20	A. That was discussed at length beginning in September, because there was	THE STATE OF THE S

24 constituted a trust record between the special

25 trustee, the tribes themselves, and the Bureau of

23

24

25

A. No, he was not there.

A. Ken --

Q. Was this a meeting that OST chaired?

		Washii	ngton,	D.C.	
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Indian Affairs operatives. Q. Let's explore that for a minute. This divergence of opinion regarding what constituted an Indian Trust record, are you telling me that OST had one definition, the tribes had another and BIA had yet a third? A. I think that's correct. Q. And were these various definitions somehow memorialized in a writing? A. Yes, they were. As a result of two nationwide meetings, I think in Albuquerque, and then the third in Redding, California, there was developed I think three options for defining what a trust record was, and actually the special trustee didn't like any of them. Q. What did he propose? A. That any federal record was a trust record, which seemed too all inclusive. Q. Is that your opinion? A. Yes, I think so. Q. Did you attend these meetings? A. No, I did not. One of them occurred before I was sworn in, and I did not attend the Redding meeting. I had a conflict at the time. Q. Did you review the documents that	Page 38	<u> </u>	Q. Rossman? A. Ken Rossman, I don't know if he was chair, but he was a principal participant. He represented OST at the meeting. Q. Do you recall a few moments ago A. I am told. I was not there. Q. I understand. Do you recall a few moments ago I asked you what your definition was of Indian Trust records? A. Uh-huh. Q. Is that a yes? A. Yes, that's a yes. Q. Is that the definition that's currently employed by the BIA? A. Yes, I think so. Q. Is there a general consensus now of what constitutes an Indian Trust record to your knowledge? To your knowledge, is there a general consensus of what constitutes an Indian Trust record, a universal definition? A. I think there is no, not a universal definition, that means one that is adopted and employed by everyone, and no, I don't think there is a universal one. Q. Well, let me ask you, is there a	Page 40
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	emerged from either or both of these meetings that delineated the various definitions you're giving me now? A. Yes, I did, the Redding meeting, which actually listed the three options. I was asked to concur in the option that we would actually use for discharging of some of our contracts, the 638 contracts, and compacts wherein tribes were managing some of these records, and they were the biggest, had the greatest dissent, because Q. Dissent from? A. Dissent from the special trustee's objecting. And in fact there was a decision reached at the Redding meeting, a consensus which included the chief of records for the Office of Special Trustee and the tribal participants, the BIA participants there essentially had said the records that we have been keeping are trust records, the way we have been keeping the records will be, serve as a definition of trust records, and Mr. Slonaker rejected that. Q. Was this a meeting that he chaired?	Page 39	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	definition to your knowledge that has been adopted by the Office of the Solicitor? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. Is there a definition to your knowledge that has been adopted by the Department of Justice? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. Is there a definition to your knowledge that has been adopted by the U.S. Attorney's office? A. I don't know the answer to that. Q. Have you seen any document, any correspondence or any other writing from any of those three organizations wherein a definition of Indian Trust records was given? A. I have not personally seen one. Q. Is that something that would normally come across your desk given your position as the Assistant Secretary of Indian Affairs? A. Yes. Q. What was your position prior to coming to the Bureau of Indian Affairs, what did you do?	Page 41

23

24

22 to the Bureau of Indian Affairs, what did you do?

for the State of Oklahoma, the Director of

A. I was the Secretary of Transportation

Oklahoma Department of Transportation, and the

		w asiiii	igion,	D.C.	
1 2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Page 42	1 2	Q. I wasn't even thinking of manual or detailed briefing, I was just wondering if	Page 44
3 4 5 6	with Amtrak there? A. It reinstated Amtrak rail service to Oklahoma City. Q. And how many years were you involved		3 4 5 6	well, when you came on board, I assume somebody set up your calendar, correct? A. Uh-huh.	
7 8 9	with Oklahoma state government? A. About 20. I served in the legislature for eight years, served in the term of Henry		7 8 9	Q. And I assume somebody set up your Notes, your Lotus Notes, your e-mail system, correct? A. Correct.	
10 11 12	Bellman a similar position, Secretary of Transportation for four years, and served six and a half years in the Keating administration as		10 11 12	Q. Who was that person, do you recall?A. I don't have specific knowledge. It appeared on my computer.	
13 14 15 16	Secretary of Transportation. Q. My understanding is you had a run for lieutenant governor; is that correct? A. That was one of my ill-starred		13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. A. It was my assumption that it was Miss Maybee in concert with the Office of Information Management, because all my contact with the Office	
17 18 19 20	political voyages. Q. Did you have a run for governor as well? A. I did indeed.		17 18 19	of Information Management vis-a-vis my computer and my personal applications were through her. Q. Does BIA have an e-mail administrator?	
21 22 23	Q. When was that?A. 1982.Q. Ready to do it again?		20 21 22 23	A. I'm sure they do. I don't know who it is. Q. So you have not had any contact with such a person?	
24 25	A. No. I am educable. Q. What kind of e-mail system do you		24 25	A. No. Q. And when you first came on board, you	
•		Page 43			Page 45
2 3	currently use in your office? A. Lotus Notes. Q. Was Lotus Notes a system that you used		1 2 3	found your system set up, both your calendar, your notes and your e-mail, correct? A. That's correct.	
4 5 6	when you were in the Oklahoma state government? A. No. Q. What did you use then?		4 5 6	Q. Did you have any problems using them? I just know that I am particularly inept so I'm just wondering if you share the same disability?	
7 8 9 10	A. We used a Microsoft system. Q. So is it fair to say that Lotus Notes strike that. Is it fair to say that the		7 8 9	A. Well, from my generation, let's say, I had only become able to use e-mail in the last five years probably, well, last six and a half	
11 12 13	first time you encountered Lotus Notes as an operator were when you came to the Department of the Interior? A. That's correct.		10 11 12 13	years. Q. Okay. A. And there is a lot about the systems, even WordPerfect and some of the basic systems,	
14 15 16	Q. Did you receive any training on LotusNotes?A. No.		14 15 16	that I am not proficient at. Q. Have you ever had occasion during your tenure as assistant secretary to call somebody up	
17 18 19 20	Q. Did you ask for any?A. No.Q. Is the Lotus Notes system in your view something	į		to ask a question regarding a problem you have encountered with Louts Notes or your e-mail system?	
21 22 23	A. Well, let me back up. I did ask the Office of Information and Resource Management to assist me in certain ways. I did not ask for a		20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. Can you give me an example? A. Yesterday I couldn't get into the system because my password didn't work.	
	manual on Lotus Notes or detailed briefing on how to use Lotus Notes.		24 25	Q. And who did you call? A. A guy by the name of Clarence, that's	

		Washin	ngton, D.C.	
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18 19 20 21 22 23 224 25	Or I has Miss Maybee call Clarence. Q. And do you know Clarence's last name? A. No, I don't. Q. Do you know what his title is? A. No, I don't. Q. Can you give me another instance where you had to ask for some assistance? A. Well, that has happened several times. Q. When you say several times, are you talking about the fact that you couldn't get in with your password? A. Yes. Q. Have you had any other difficulties with your e-mail system? A. There is some extraneous pop-ups that are an attendant part of Lotus Notes that I don't use, and I asked them to take it off yesterday. Q. That was yesterday?	Page 46	Q. Did you see this letter before it actually went out? A. No. Q. You saw this letter only after it went out? A. Yes. Q. Did anybody consult you concerning the contents of this letter? MR. RAUH: Objection. Just give me one second. You can answer his question without divulging any conversations you had with counsel. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. Yes, I will not ask you if anything comes up with your conversations with private counsel, please let me know that I'm going into that territory and we can have that discussion. Okay, Mr. McCaleb? A. Let me reread this. Q. No. I just need to sort of A. I understood your instruction. Q. Okay, very good. A. (Perusing.) Q. Let me just ask you, you appear to be consulting your calendar; is that correct? A. Yes.	Page 48
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. You're familiar with how to delete a message? A. Yes. I became familiar with how to delete a message. Q. Okay. Do you want to take a break? A. I'm fine. MR. BALARAN: Okay Let's mark this as	Page 47	Q. For what purpose, may I ask? A. Because I left after October 10th when the problem became apparent to me, in my conversations with Miss Maybee, and I think I was gone the entire following week. I remember the discussions after the letter was sent and I was looking at the calendar to see if I was in town at	age 49

MR. BALARAN: Okay. Let's mark this as Exhibit 2.

10 (McCaleb Exhibit 2 marked for 11 identification.) 12

BY MR. BALARAN:

13 Q. Mr. McCaleb, I'm showing you a document 14

labeled Exhibit 2. It's a copy of a letter, the 15 letterhead the United States Department of the

16 Interior, Office of the Solicitor, Washington,

17 D.C., dated October 16, 2002, and it's a facsimile

transmission to Peter Miller of the United States

Department of Justice Civil Division, Commercial

Litigation Branch, and it's signed on page 2 by

Sabrina McCarthy. Have you seen this letter? 21

22 A. Yes.

9

23 Q. When did you first see this letter? 24

A. I don't remember the specific date;

fairly soon after it was prepared.

anytime before this October 16th date, and I don't

think I was.

13

17

18

19

10 Q. So you were in town for the October 10th conversation with Miss Maybee, which we will discuss in a moment; is that correct?

A. Correct.

Q. When did you leave town?

14 A. I think the next day. Yeah, the 11th, 15

16 right, that would be right.

Q. Okay. And when did you return?

A. I'm not sure. I think I spend most of -- I would have to go back and consult my

calendar, but I think I spend most of that week on 20

the road. I spend about half of my time on the 21

22 road away from the office.

23 Q. Okay. So in response to my question, 24 you did not review this letter prior to it being

25 sent from Miss McCarthy at the Solicitor's office

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

to Mr. Miller at the Department of Justice? A. I don't think so. I wasn't in town. The only way I would have been able to have reviewed it is if they faxed it to me at my hotel and that may have occurred, I just don't remember. Q. Where were you staying, do you recall? A. I would have to go back and look at my calendar to see where I was going. I mean, I'm on the road half the time and I usually make several visits at different tribal headquarters and locations when I'm gone, and so I don't remember. Q. Let me understand the time line then. You have a conversation with Miss Maybee on October 10th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And does there come a point in time before October 16th that you have a conversation with the Office of the Solicitor regarding the events that are discussed in this letter? A. Let me consult my calendar here and see if I— Q. This is the second calendar? A. Yeah, this is a Palm Pilot obviously. Again, on the 16th I was in Denver. Q. Where were you on the 11th?	Page 50	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q. Well, can you tell me how the facts that are contained in this letter came out, do you know? A. I would be assuming. I do not know. Q. Well, assume them. MR. RAUH: Now you're clearly asking him to speculate. MR. BALARAN: Yes, I am, and I will accept his answer as a speculation. THE WITNESS: I'm speculating that there was a discussion with Miss Martin or the chief of staff, Mr. Jerry Gidner. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. Who is Miss Martin? A. Aurene Martin, the Deputy Assistant Secretary. Q. And who would have had such a conversation? MR. RAUH: Again, you're asking him to speculate? MR. BALARAN: Yes, I am. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm told this was brought to the attention of the associate deputy secretary early the following week after the 10th, like on the 12th or the 13th. I don't	Page 52
	Page 51			Page 53
A. I was en route.		1	know that specifically.	
Q. I see. You were en route to Denver?	Ì	2		
A. I had two meetings at that time. One	İ	3	Q. Who told you that?	
was a meeting with the Council of Energy Resource	ĺ	4		
Imbes in Denver and the next meeting was with the		5	Q. Just so I understand the chronology, on	
			October 10th you discovered that your e-mails are	
			deleted; is that correct?	
			Q. Okay. We can go into the details of	
	A. I don't think so. I wasn't in town. The only way I would have been able to have reviewed it is if they faxed it to me at my hotel and that may have occurred, I just don't remember. Q. Where were you staying, do you recall? A. I would have to go back and look at my calendar to see where I was going. I mean, I'm on the road half the time and I usually make several visits at different tribal headquarters and locations when I'm gone, and so I don't remember. Q. Let me understand the time line then. You have a conversation with Miss Maybee on October 10th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And does there come a point in time before October 16th that you have a conversation with the Office of the Solicitor regarding the events that are discussed in this letter? A. Let me consult my calendar here and see if I Q. This is the second calendar? A. Yeah, this is a Palm Pilot obviously. Again, on the 16th I was in Denver. Q. Where were you on the 11th?	to Mr. Miller at the Department of Justice? A. I don't think so. I wasn't in town. The only way I would have been able to have reviewed it is if they faxed it to me at my hotel and that may have occurred, I just don't remember. Q. Where were you staying, do you recall? A. I would have to go back and look at my calendar to see where I was going. I mean, I'm on the road half the time and I usually make several visits at different tribal headquarters and locations when I'm gone, and so I don't remember. Q. Let me understand the time line then. You have a conversation with Miss Maybee on October 10th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And does there come a point in time before October 16th that you have a conversation with the Office of the Solicitor regarding the events that are discussed in this letter? A. Let me consult my calendar here and see if I Q. This is the second calendar? A. Yeah, this is a Palm Pilot obviously. Again, on the 16th I was in Denver. Q. Where were you on the 11th? Page 51 A. I was en route. Q. I see. You were en route to Denver? A. I had two meetings at that time. One was a meeting with the Council of Energy Resource Tribes in Denver and the next meeting was with the Indian Trust Monitoring Association. Q. And that was again in Denver? A. Yes. And then I went from there on to Oklahoma. Q. And what date was that? A. The 17th, that was a travel day.	to Mr. Miller at the Department of Justice? A. I don't think so. I wasn't in town. The only way I would have been able to have reviewed it is if they faxed it to me at my hotel and that may have occurred, I just don't remember. Q. Where were you staying, do you recall? A. I would have to go back and look at my calendar to see where I was going. I mean, I'm on the road half the time and I usually make several visits at different tribal headquarters and locations when I'm gone, and so I don't remember. Q. Let me understand the time line then. You have a conversation with Miss Maybee on October 10th, correct? A. Correct. Q. And does there come a point in time before October 16th that you have a conversation with the Office of the Solicitor regarding the events that are discussed in this letter? A. Let me consult my calendar here and see if I Q. This is the second calendar? A. Yeah, this is a Palm Pilot obviously. Again, on the 16th I was in Denver. Q. Where were you on the 11th? Page 51 A. I was en route. Q. I see. You were en route to Denver? A. I had two meetings at that time. One was a meeting with the Council of Energy Resource Tribes in Denver and the next meeting was with the Indian Trust Monitoring Association. Q. And that was again in Denver? A. Yes. And then I went from there on to Oklahoma. Q. And what date was that? A. The 17th, that was a travel day.	to Mr. Miller at the Department of Justice? A. I don't think so. I wasn't in town. The only way I would have been able to have reviewed it is if they faxed it to me at my hotel and that may have occurred, I just don't remember. Q. Where were you staying, do you recall? A. I would have to go back and look at my calendar to see where I was going. I mean, I'm on the road half the time and I usually make several visits at different tribal headquarters and locations when I'm gone, and so I don't remember. Q. Let me understand the time line then. You have a conversation with Miss Maybee on October 10th, correct? Q. And does there come a point in time before October 16th that you have a conversation with the Office of the Solicitor regarding the events that are discussed in this letter? Q. This is the second calendar? A. Yeah, this is a Palm Pilot obviously. A. Yeah, this is a Palm Pilot obviously. Again, on the 16th I was in Denver. Q. Where were you on the 11th? Page 51 A. I was en route. Q. I see. You were en route to Denver? A. I had two meetings at that time. One was a meeting with the Council of Energy Resource Tribes in Denver and the next meeting was with the Indian Trust Monitoring Association. Q. And what date was that? Q. And what date was that? A. The 17th, that was a travel day. 1 Q. Well, can you tell me how the facts that are contained in this letter came out, do you know? A. I would be assuming. I do not know. Q. Well, assume them. MR. RAUH: Now you're clearly asking him to speculate. BMR. BALARAN: Yes, I am, and I will accept his answer as a speculation. THE WITNESS: I'm speculating that there was a discussion with Miss Martin or the chief of staff, Mr. Jerry Gidner. The Witness: I may have a discussion with Miss Martin or the chief of staff, Mr. Jerry Gidner. The Witness: I may have a discussion with Miss Martin or the chief of staff, Mr. Jerry Gidner. The Witness: I may have a discussion with Miss Martin or the chief of staff, Mr. Jerry Gidner. The Witness: I may have a discussion with Miss Marti

12 Q. Let's focus our attention on the time 13 between October 11th and October 16th, if we may, 14 okay? 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. At that time you testified that you 17 were in Denver, Colorado, correct? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Did there come a point in time between October 11th and October 16th that you had a discussion with the Office of the Solicitor regarding the events contained in the letter that 23 is now before you as Exhibit 2?

A. I don't remember. I really don't

24

25

remember.

15 That was the date I discovered that they had not been saved.

A. No. I knew they had been deleted.

16 17

13

14

Q. When did you first find out that they had been deleted? 18

12 that you discovered that your e-mails had been

A. Well, I was deleting them, so I knew 19 20 they were being deleted.

21 Q. Had anybody else deleted your e-mails? 22

A. I don't know.

deleted; is that correct?

23 Q. Who else has access to your e-mails?

24 A. Jean Maybee.

25 Q. Have you ever given instruction to Miss

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

			Ţ		
		Page 54			Page 56
1	,		1	Q. And I'm asking you a different	
2			2	question. I'm asking you if you instructed Miss	
3	e sing. Esta go outle to obtobbli folii.		3	Maybee or anybody else at any time to reconstitute	
4 5	that you was a said on the your of many mayo		4	or go to the backup tapes to get the information	
6	,		5	that you deleted that was Cobell related.	
7			6	A. Not until I returned, and then I	
8	y		7	discussed it with Mr. Kayson.	
9			8	Q. Was this in a private meeting with	
10	- S openie		9	Mr. Kayson?	
111			10		
12	from them.		11	Q. And did you ask Mr. Kayson to see if	
13			12	the information that you had deleted that was	
14	Management and not the e-mail administrator over		14	Cobell related and not OIRM morning reports, did	
15	at the BIA?		15	you ask him to see if they could be taken off the backup tapes?	
16			16		
17	the backup tapes, that's what I had been led to		17	A. I think he told me that he thought they could be.	
18	believe.		18	Q. How did he find out about it, do you	
19	Q. And who told you that?		19	know?	
20	A. I can't give you a name. That is the		20	A. The issue had been raised in his office	
21	impression that I had from that's the		21	by the chief of staff, Mr. Jerry Gidner.	
22	impression I had.		22	Q. And how did Jerry Gidner know, if you	
23	Q. And you told her to go to OIRM		23	were away during this time?	
24	specifically; is that correct?		24	A. Miss Maybee raised the issue with him.	
25	A. Right. Specifically, we were getting		25	Q. Is the information contained in this	
,	17 competition	Page 55			Page 57
1	daily reports from OIRM on the amount of money		1	October 16, 2002 letter that's Exhibit 2, is it	
2	disbursed to IIM account holders pursuant to the		2	accurate?	
4	shutdown that had occurred in December, whereby IIM account holders were unable to receive their		3	A. To the best of my knowledge it is.	
5			4	Q. Reviewing it now, would you change	
6	monthly distributions, and so we started keeping	į	5	anything in it?	
7	I asked for a report to be given to me on a daily basis so I could see when we instituted a		6	A. No.	
8	method of paying out some of the mineral leases	1	7	Q. Okay.	
9	based upon estimates from previous month's payout,		8 3	(McCaleb Exhibit 3 marked for	
10	so I could see how much money was getting into the		9 10	identification.)	
11	hands of the IIM account holders. And I never		11	BY MR. BALARAN:	
		ł	1 1	Q. I'm showing you a copy of a letter	
12	stopped that coming in.	1		generated by my office on October 20, 2002 +=	I
12 13	stopped that coming in. Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning		12	generated by my office on October 20, 2002 to Peter Miller at the Department of Justice the	
	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports?		12 13	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the	
13	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning		12	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant	
13 14	 Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming 		12 13 14	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter	
13 14 15	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did.		12 13 14 15 16	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter and I would like you to take a moment and review	
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming e-mail? A. Yes.		12 13 14 15 16 17	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter	
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming e-mail? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete any other incoming		12 13 14 15 16 17	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter and I would like you to take a moment and review this letter and tell me whether you have seen it before.	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming e-mail? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete any other incoming Cobell e-mail?		12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter and I would like you to take a moment and review this letter and tell me whether you have seen it before. A. (Perusing.)	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming e-mail? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete any other incoming Cobell e-mail? A. Probably.		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter and I would like you to take a moment and review this letter and tell me whether you have seen it before. A. (Perusing.)	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming e-mail? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete any other incoming Cobell e-mail? A. Probably. Q. Did you ask Miss Maybee to call OIRM to		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter and I would like you to take a moment and review this letter and tell me whether you have seen it before. A. (Perusing.) Q. My question to you again, have you seen	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming e-mail? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete any other incoming Cobell e-mail? A. Probably. Q. Did you ask Miss Maybee to call OIRM to get a copy of those?		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter and I would like you to take a moment and review this letter and tell me whether you have seen it before. A. (Perusing.) Q. My question to you again, have you seen this letter before? A. Yes. Q. And where have you seen it?	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming e-mail? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete any other incoming Cobell e-mail? A. Probably. Q. Did you ask Miss Maybee to call OIRM to get a copy of those? A. I asked her specifically to get the		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter and I would like you to take a moment and review this letter and tell me whether you have seen it before. A. (Perusing.) Q. My question to you again, have you seen this letter before? A. Yes. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was presented to me, I think shortly	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Did you delete the OIRM morning reports? A. I did. Q. Did you delete any other incoming e-mail? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete any other incoming Cobell e-mail? A. Probably. Q. Did you ask Miss Maybee to call OIRM to get a copy of those?		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Peter Miller at the Department of Justice, the caption being e-mail message of Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. It is a two-page letter and I would like you to take a moment and review this letter and tell me whether you have seen it before. A. (Perusing.) Q. My question to you again, have you seen this letter before? A. Yes. Q. And where have you seen it?	

3 Q. When you say you think Miss McCarthy, 4 could it have been someone else who gave this to 5 you? 6 MR. RAUH: Objection, speculative. 7 MR. BALARAN: Yes, exactly. 8 BY MR. BALARAN: 9 Q. Could it have been anyone else? 10 A. It could have been anyone else? 11 Q. Who else could it have been? 12 A. It could have been Miss Martin. 13 Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? 14 A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I said it could have been. I suspect that the letter was circulated to her in my absence. 16 letter was circulated to her in my absence. 17 Q. Who else might it have been besides 18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be reaching further than I am willing to do. 20 Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are 21 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 22 A. Right. 23 Q. What constitutes a Cobell related 24 A. Right. 25 Q. Did you discuss the contents of this	1 2	Page 58 Q. By whom? A. I think Miss McCarthy.	1 2	Page secretary, administrative assistant, and had them sort of type up your notes.	e 60
5 you? 6 MR. RAUH: Objection, speculative. 7 MR. BALARAN: Yes, exactly. 8 BY MR. BALARAN: 9 Q. Could it have been anyone else? 9 A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I 10 A. It could have been. 11 Q. Who else could it have been? 12 A. It could have been Miss Martin. 13 Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? 14 A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I 15 said it could have been. I suspect that the 16 letter was circulated to her in my absence. 17 Q. Who else might it have been besides 18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be reaching further than I am willing to do. 20 Cokay. So the likely contenders are 21 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 22 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 25 response, in preparing for your responses to this 6 letter? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you consult any documents? 9 A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I 10 still had, and by that time we had received from 11 OIRM all the daily reports, so I took 12 printed copies of all the daily reports, so I took 13 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 14 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 15 substantial portions of other Cobell related 16 e-mail over that period of time. 17 Q. So you were looking not just at the 18 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related 19 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 A. Right. 25 Right and No. 26 A. No. 27 A. No. 28 Q. Did you consult any documents? A. No. 8 Q. Did you consult any documents? A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I 10 still had, and by that time we had received from 11 OIRM all the daily reports, so I took 12 or ough inventory of what we had, which was almost 14 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, so I took 15 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 16 e-mail over that period of time. 17 Q. S	1 -	Q. When you say you think Miss McCarthy,	1	A. No.	
MR. RAUH: Objection, speculative. MR. BALARAN: Yes, exactly. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. Could it have been anyone else? A. It could have been. Q. Who else could it have been? A. It could have been Miss Martin. Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I said it could have been. I suspect that the letter was circulated to her in my absence. Q. Who else might it have been besides Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? Q. Who else might it have been besides Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? A. Right. MR. RAUH: Objection, speculative. 6 letter? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Did you consult any documents? 9 A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I 10 still had, and by that time we had received from 11 OIRM all the daily reports that we had asked for, printed copies of all the daily reports, so I took 12 printed copies of all the daily reports, so I took 13 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 4 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 5 substantial portions of other Cobell related 6 e-mail over that period of time. 17 Q. So you were looking not just at the 18 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related 19 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 A. Right. O. What constitutes a Cobell related	i	could it have been someone else who gave this to			
7 MR. BALARAN: Yes, exactly. 8 BY MR. BALARAN: 9 Q. Could it have been anyone else? 10 A. It could have been. 11 Q. Who else could it have been? 12 A. It could have been Miss Martin. 13 Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? 14 A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I 15 said it could have been. I suspect that the 16 letter was circulated to her in my absence. 17 Q. Who else might it have been besides 18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be reaching further than I am willing to do. 20 Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are 21 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 22 A. Right. 27 A. No. 8 Q. Did you consult any documents? 9 A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I still had, and by that time we had received from 10 OIRM all the daily reports that we had, which was almost a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and substantial portions of other Cobell related e-mail over that period of time. 16 e-mail over that period of time. 17 Q. So you were looking not just at the OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related e-mails that might have been deleted; is that correct? 18 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related e-mails that might have been deleted; is that correct? 20 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had access to in her files. 21 A. Right. 22 O. What constitutes a Cobell related					
8 BY MR. BALARAN: 9 Q. Could it have been anyone else? 10 A. It could have been. 11 Q. Who else could it have been? 12 A. It could have been Miss Martin. 13 Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? 14 A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I 15 said it could have been. I suspect that the 16 letter was circulated to her in my absence. 17 Q. Who else might it have been besides 18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be 19 reaching further than I arm willing to do. 20 Okay. So the likely contenders are 21 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 8 Q. Did you consult any documents? 9 A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I 10 still had, and by that time we had received from 11 OIRM all the daily reports, so I took 12 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 13 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 14 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 15 substantial portions of other Cobell related 16 e-mail over that period of time. 17 Q. So you were looking not just at the 18 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related 19 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 A. Right. 9 A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I 20 oIRM all the daily reports, so I took 21 Q. So you were looking not just at the 22 orrect? 23 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 24 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 25 access to in her files. 26 O. What constitutes a Cobell related	1		1		
9 Q. Could it have been anyone else? 10 A. It could have been. 11 Q. Who else could it have been? 12 A. It could have been Miss Martin. 13 Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? 14 A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I 15 said it could have been. I suspect that the 16 letter was circulated to her in my absence. 17 Q. Who else might it have been besides 18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be 19 reaching further than I am willing to do. 20 Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are 21 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 22 A. Right. 9 A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I 20 still had, and by that time we had received from 21 OIRM all the daily reports, so I took 21 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 22 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 23 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 24 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 25 a rough inventory of what we had received from 26 OIRM all the daily reports, so I took 27 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 28 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 29 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, so I took 29 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 29 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, so I took 29 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 29 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, so I took 20 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 20 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 21 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, so I took 20 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 21 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 22 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 23 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 24 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 25 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 26 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 27 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 28 a rou			1	Q. Did you consult any documents?	
11 Q. Who else could it have been? 12 A. It could have been Miss Martin. 13 Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? 14 A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I 15 said it could have been. I suspect that the 16 letter was circulated to her in my absence. 17 Q. Who else might it have been besides 18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be 19 reaching further than I am willing to do. 20 Okay. So the likely contenders are 21 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 10 IRM all the daily reports that we had asked for, 12 printed copies of all the daily reports, so I took 13 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 14 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 15 substantial portions of other Cobell related 16 e-mail over that period of time. 17 Q. So you were looking not just at the 18 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related 19 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 O. What constitutes a Cobell related	•		1 -	A. Well, yes, I went to see what e-mail I	
A. It could have been Miss Martin. Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I said it could have been. I suspect that the letter was circulated to her in my absence. Q. Who else might it have been besides Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? A. I don't know. I mean, that would be reaching further than I am willing to do. Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? A. Right. Miss Martin gave you my letter? 12 printed copies of all the daily reports, so I took 13 a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost 14 all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and 15 substantial portions of other Cobell related 16 e-mail over that period of time. Q. So you were looking not just at the OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related 19 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 21 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 22 access to in her files. 23 access to in her files. Q. What constitutes a Cobell related	1			still had, and by that time we had received from	
Q. Miss Martin gave you my letter? A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I said it could have been. I suspect that the letter was circulated to her in my absence. Q. Who else might it have been besides Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? A. I don't know. I mean, that would be reaching further than I am willing to do. Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? A. Right. I don't know my letter? I a rough inventory of what we had, which was almost all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and substantial portions of other Cobell related e-mail over that period of time. Q. So you were looking not just at the OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related e-mails that might have been deleted; is that correct? A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had access to in her files. Q. What constitutes a Cobell related			i	OIRM all the daily reports that we had asked for,	
A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I said it could have been. I suspect that the letter was circulated to her in my absence. Q. Who else might it have been besides Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? A. I don't know. I mean, that would be reaching further than I am willing to do. Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? A. Right. A. I don't know. I mean, that would be reaching further than I am willing to do. Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are A. Right. A. Right. A. Right. A. I don't know. I didn't say that, I all the sent e-mail and all the daily reports, and substantial portions of other Cobell related e-mail over that period of time. Q. So you were looking not just at the OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related e-mails that might have been deleted; is that correct? A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had access to in her files. Q. What constitutes a Cobell related				printed copies of all the daily reports, so I took	
said it could have been. I suspect that the letter was circulated to her in my absence. Q. Who else might it have been besides Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? A. I don't know. I mean, that would be reaching further than I am willing to do. Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? A. Right. Is substantial portions of other Cobell related e-mail over that period of time. Q. So you were looking not just at the OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related e-mails that might have been deleted; is that correct? A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had access to in her files. Q. Who else might it have been besides I A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had access to in her files. Q. What constitutes a Cobell related	1		1		
16 letter was circulated to her in my absence. 17 Q. Who else might it have been besides 18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be 20 reaching further than I am willing to do. 21 Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are 22 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 23 So you were looking not just at the 18 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related 19 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 A. Right. 26 Right. 27 Q. So you were looking not just at the 28 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related	1		1 -	substantial partiage of other Calculated	
17 Q. Who else might it have been besides 18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be 20 reaching further than I am willing to do. 21 Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are 22 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a second; is that right? 23 second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 27 Q. So you were looking not just at the 18 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related 19 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 O. What constitutes a Cobell related	1	letter was circulated to her in my absence		e-mail over that period of time	
18 Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin? 19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be 20 reaching further than I am willing to do. 21 Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are 22 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a 23 second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 18 OIRM morning reports but at other Cobell related 19 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 O. What constitutes a Cobell related	17		1	O So you were looking not just at the	
19 A. I don't know. I mean, that would be 20 reaching further than I am willing to do. 21 Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are 22 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a 23 second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 29 e-mails that might have been deleted; is that 20 correct? 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 O. What constitutes a Cobell related	18	Miss McCarthy or Miss Martin?	1	OIRM morning reports but at other Cohell related	
20 reaching further than I am willing to do. 21 Q. Okay. So the likely contenders are 22 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a 23 second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 20 correct? 21 A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 O. What constitutes a Cobell related	19	A. I don't know. I mean, that would be	19	e-mails that might have been deleted: is that	
22 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a 23 second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 25 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 26 access to in her files. 27 O. What constitutes a Cobell related		reaching further than I am willing to do.	20		
22 Miss McCarthy as a first and Miss Martin as a 23 second; is that right? 24 A. Right. 22 of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had 23 access to in her files. 24 O. What constitutes a Cobell related			21	A. I asked Mrs. Maybee to give me a copy	
24 A. Right. 24 O. What constitutes a Cobell related	i		l .	of any and all Cobell related e-mail that she had	
1 27 O. What constitutes a Copen related	1		1		
25 e-mail?					
	23	Q. Did you discuss the contents of this	25	e-mail?	

Page 59

letter with anybody? 2 A. When I discussed it with Miss McCarthy, 3 yes. 4 On how many occasions? Q. 5 A. Probably two. 6 Q. Were you asked to draft a response to this letter? 7 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Did you in fact do so? A. I counseled with Mrs. McCarthy and she 10 11 drafted a response from our conversations. 12 Q. When you say you counseled with her, does that mean that you actually met with her and 13 14 gave her the responses orally? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did there come a point in time when you 17 generated a written response to this either in 18 draft or final form? A. That I personally did, no. 19 20 Q. Did you direct anybody else to draft 21 your responses in writing, aside from Miss 22 McCarthy? 23 A. No. I was told that Mrs. McCarthy was 24 preparing the response. 25 Q. I'm just asking if you went to a

A. Well, one that has to do either with the litigation itself, with the IIM account holders, with the -- there's three primary ones that I don't --5 Q. Are you referring to the three 6 functional areas? A. Yes. 8 Q. Do you have a list of those three functional areas on your desk somewhere --9 10 A. I think so. Q. Please let me finish the question. Do 11 you have a list of the three functional areas that you're supposed to keep that are Cobell related on your desk somewhere? 15 A. Yes, I do. 16 Q. Where do you keep them? 17 A. In my desk file. 18 Q. What is the proper procedure for e-mails in your office? What is the proper 19 20 procedure for printing, saving, filing and 21 archiving, can you tell me? 22 A. My impression was the proper procedure 23 was that the e-mails that I was doing, that I was 24 sending, were being archived by Miss Maybee.

Q. And I'm going to stop you and I'm going

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Page 61

25

_					
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to ask you first, does the Department of The Interior have a policy regarding the saving, filing, archiving and deleting of e-mails? A. Well, they have published numerous instructions in both printed letters and e-mails. Q. And would you consider those numerous instructions in letters and e-mails the Department policy vis-a-vis the retention, preservation, archiving and deleting of e-mails? A. Yes. Q. What is that policy? And I'm not asking with regard to you and this may be your impression. What is that policy?	Page 62	12 13 14	recoverable? A. No. Q. What does the policy say? A. I can't quote it to you, I just told you generally what my impression was. Q. Would you believe me if I told you that the policy says that you must print them before deleting them? A. Yes, I would. Q. Did you do so with the OIRM morning reports? A. No. Q. Isn't it in fact true, sir, that Miss Maybee could not have opened up the OIRM morning reports?	4
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A. That you don't delete any e-mails, that you keep a record of all the e-mails before they are deleted. Q. And what type of record are we referring to? A. Well, I think the written requirement is that they be printed, hard copy printed. Q. Did you print these e-mails before deleting them? A. No, sir, I did not.		18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Well, they were encrypted. I only found that out recently. Q. When did you first start getting OIRM morning reports? A. In January of 2002. Q. When did you find out that they were encrypted? A. Last week. Q. So, am I to understand that you didn't read them?	
1 2 3	Q. Did you believe that Miss Maybee was printing these e-mails before they were deleted?	Page 63	1 2 1	Page 65 A. I did read them. Well, I asked for them so that I would be current on how many	

1	Q.	Did you believe that Miss Maybee was
2	printin	g these e-mails before they were deleted?
3	A.	I did not know.
4	Q.	Well, let me ask you, sir, the OIRM
5	mornir	ig reports were deleted, direct?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	And you deleted them; is that right?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And you believe Miss Maybee was
10	printing	g them out?
11	A.	No, I do not.
12	Q.	So in fact when you deleted them
13	withou	t printing them out, you were in violation

15 correct? MR. RAUH: Objection. You're calling 16 17

of Interior policy regarding e-mails; is that

for a legal conclusion.

MR. BALARAN: That's fine.

BY MR. BALARAN:

14

18

19

20

21

Q. To the extent that you understand what the policy is, I'm entitled to your answer.

22 A. I thought they were recoverable and in

23 fact were, or had been recovered.

24 Q. Does Interior policy say that you are not to print them out if you believe that they are

payments were made and how current we were with our IIM account holders. When we reached a level of currency, frankly, I quit reading them because they had served their interest. Q. But when you first started reading them, were they encrypted? A. I don't have any idea. 10 Q. Well, you just told me they were encrypted, I didn't. A. Well, I'm told they were encrypted. 13

Q. Do you know the process for

unencrypting?

15 A. No, I do not.

> Q. Did you ever instruct Miss Maybee to unencrypt your e-mails?

A. No, I did not.

Q. Let's go back to the October 20th, 2002 letter which I believe is Exhibit 3.

20 21

A. October 20th, yes.

22 Q. And you have had the opportunity to

23 review that?

14

16

17

18

19

24

A. Yes.

25 Q. And that's a fair representation of the

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

			.		
1	letter that you gave?	Page 66			Page 68
1 2	letter that you saw? A. Yes.			letter?	
3	Q. Okay. You stated, I believe, and		3		
4	correct me if I'm wrong, that you consulted with		4	Q. And how long did each of these meetings last?	
5	Miss McCarthy prior to this letter being		5		
6	generated, correct?		6	I would say the first one was probably more	
7	A. Yes.		1 7	lengthy, maybe a half an hour, and the second one	
8	Q. And you also testified, I believe, that		8	was more abbreviated, maybe 15 minutes.	
9	you did not put anything down in writing to		9	Q. Okay. And did Miss McCarthy take notes	
10	memorialize any of the answers in writing; is that		10	while you were discussing this?	
11	correct?		11	A. Yes.	
12	MS. KESSLER: Which letter are we		12	Q. Did you review the questions that I had	
13	talking about?		13	asked?	
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm confused about		14	A. Yes.	
15	which letter. She wrote the letter of October		15	Q. And did you give your responses to	
16	16th, and I was not familiar with the letter of		16	those questions?	
17	October 20th, which is your letter, sir.		17	A. Yes.	
18	BY MR. BALARAN:		18	Q. Did there come a point in time when	
19	Q. I'm sorry, I apologize. Yes, the		19	Miss McCarthy responded to this?	
20	October 20th letter, I'm referring to that. Did		20	A. Yes.	
21	you consult with Miss McCarthy regarding the		21	(McCaleb Exhibit 4 marked for	
22	response to this letter?		22	identification.)	
23	MR. RAUH: That's a different question.		23	BY MR. BALARAN:	
24	MR. BALARAN: I apologize for that.		24	Q. In front of you, sir, is a November 8,	
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.		25	2002 letter with the caption United States	
		Page 67			Page 69
1	BY MR. BALARAN:		1	Department of the Interior Office of the	
2	Q. Okay. And you testified, just to go		2	Solicitor, a facsimile transmission from Miss	•
3	back over this, you testified that you did not		3	Sabrina McCarthy of the Office of the Solicitor to	
4	memorialize any of your responses to this letter		4	Peter B. Miller at the Department of Justice. The	
5	in writing; is that correct?		5	letter is four pages in length and I would like	
6	A. No.		6	you to take a moment and review it and tell me if	
7	Q. And on how many occasions did you		7	you have ever seen this letter before.	
8	MR. RAUH: Wait, wait, wait. You asked		8	A. (Perusing.)	
9	a double negative question there. Do you want to		9	Q. Have you seen this letter before?	
10 11	repeat that question? I don't think the witness understood it.		10	A. Yes, I have.	
12			11	Q. When did you see this letter?	
	THE REPORTER: "Question: And you did not memorialize any of your responses to this		12	A. I don't have a specific recollection of	
	letter in writing; is that correct? Answer: No."		13	the date, but I think after it was, shortly after	
15	THE WITNESS: I did not memorialize it.		14	it was written and before it was transmitted, I	
16	BY MR. BALARAN:		15 16	had an opportunity to review the letter.	
17	Q. Okay. You understood the question?		17	Q. After it was transmitted? A. No. before.	
18	A. Yes.		18	,	
19	Q. And your answer is still no?		19	Q. Okay. How many drafts did you review, if any?	
20	A. Yes.	1	20	A. Oh, more than one. I don't remember a	
21	Q. On how many occasions did you meet with	1	21	specific number.	
22	Miss McCarthy regarding the response to this	į	22	Q. How were the draft or drafts sent to	
	letter?			you?	
24	A. Two that I can recall.		24	A. Hand-delivered.	
25	Q. In response to the October 20th, 2002		25	Q. By whom?	
	-	1	,		

		***	T		
:	·	Page 70			n
		Page 70			Page 72
1	A. Sabrina, I assume. I wasn't there when		1	meetings did you go over these multiple drafts?	
2	she delivered them. I received them in my in		2	A. Well, I think there was only two	
3	basket.		3	drafts, I'm not sure, but I think there was only	
4	Q. Did you make comments?		4	two.	
5	A. Well, the first letter had a lot of		5	Q. Okay. So did you	
6	blanks in it because she didn't have the		6	A. The one with the blanks in it and then	
7	information and I tried to provide her the		7	a final draft.	
8	information.		8	Q. So am I to understand that you went	
9	Q. So you filled in the blanks?		9	over the in your first longer meeting that may	
10	A. Uh-huh.		10	have been up to an hour, that's when you went over	
11	Q. And you did that in writing?		11	the first draft?	
12	A. Yeah.		12	A. No. The first meeting was when we	
13	Q. Okay. So I asked you prior whether or		13	discussed the content and I communicated to her my	
14	not you memorialized let me just finish the		14	answers. Then she prepared a draft that had some	
15	question please memorialized any of your		15	blanks in it, and then we discussed that.	
16	answers at all, either in notes or in final form		16	Q. That was the second meeting?	
17	in writing, and I'm going to ask you to please		17	A. Yes.	
18	reconsider your answer.		18	Q. And that was the first draft?	
19	MR. RAUH: Counsel, that was a		19	A. Yes.	
20	different letter.		20	Q. Did you see a second draft?	
21	MR. BALARAN: That's fine.		21	A. No, I think I just saw the one with the	
22	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that I		22	blanks.	
23	filled in the blanks. Sabrina and I sat down and		23	Q. Oh, so there was only one draft that	
24	went over the letter, and I may have given her the		24	you saw now?	
25	instructions verbally, and I may have written them		25	A. Mr. Balaran, I didn't intend to	
		Page 71			Page 73
1	down. I didn't keep a copy. If I did write them	Page 71	1	indicate I saw multiple drafts. I said we had two	Page 73
1 2	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked	Page 71	1 2	indicate I saw multiple drafts. I said we had two meetings. I gave her the information to fill in	Page 73
	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the	Page 71	_	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in	Page 73
2 3 4	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it	Page 71	2	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft	Page 73
2 3 4 5	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically	Page 71	2 3	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall.	Page 71	2 3 4	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN:	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts?	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments?	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter?	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule?	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct?	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct? A. Yes.	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me. Q. Do you recall whether or not these	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then you had a second meeting that	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me. Q. Do you recall whether or not these appointments with Sabrina McCarthy were scheduled	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then you had a second meeting that I believe was shorter, that ran approximately 15	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me. Q. Do you recall whether or not these appointments with Sabrina McCarthy were scheduled or unscheduled?	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then you had a second meeting that I believe was shorter, that ran approximately 15 minutes, correct?	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me. Q. Do you recall whether or not these appointments with Sabrina McCarthy were scheduled or unscheduled? A. The first one was scheduled.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then you had a second meeting that I believe was shorter, that ran approximately 15 minutes, correct? A. Yes. Those time intervals are not	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me. Q. Do you recall whether or not these appointments with Sabrina McCarthy were scheduled or unscheduled? A. The first one was scheduled. Q. And the second?	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then you had a second meeting that I believe was shorter, that ran approximately 15 minutes, correct? A. Yes. Those time intervals are not necessarily accurate; I'm just trying to delineate	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me. Q. Do you recall whether or not these appointments with Sabrina McCarthy were scheduled or unscheduled? A. The first one was scheduled. Q. And the second? A. I don't recall.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then you had a second meeting that I believe was shorter, that ran approximately 15 minutes, correct? A. Yes. Those time intervals are not necessarily accurate; I'm just trying to delineate that one was longer than the other, and less than	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me. Q. Do you recall whether or not these appointments with Sabrina McCarthy were scheduled or unscheduled? A. The first one was scheduled. Q. And the second? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay.	Page 73
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	down, I gave them to Sabrina. She and I talked about it, we had an understanding about what the content of the letter was to be, but whether it was in writing, I don't really specifically recall. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. And do you recall one or two drafts? A. More than one. Q. So let me understand. You had two meetings, you testified, do you recall, with regards to a response to my letter? A. Uh-huh. Q. You had one that was a half hour that you described, I believe, as the longer of the meetings, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then you had a second meeting that I believe was shorter, that ran approximately 15 minutes, correct? A. Yes. Those time intervals are not necessarily accurate; I'm just trying to delineate	Page 71	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	meetings. I gave her the information to fill in my response to the questions, she prepared a draft that was not complete. I provided that information and she prepared a final draft. There may have been a third meeting. I don't have a specific Q. Who keeps your calendar of your appointments? A. Miss Maybee. Q. Would she keep a calendar of appointments that you have with Office of the Solicitor as a general rule? A. As a general rule, unless they came in without an appointment and wanted to speak with me. Q. Do you recall whether or not these appointments with Sabrina McCarthy were scheduled or unscheduled? A. The first one was scheduled. Q. And the second? A. I don't recall.	Page 73

22

23

24

25

of e-mails during the ten-month period?

Q. Are the statements in here true and

A. Yes, it is.

accurate?

_			innigion, D.C.	
		Page 74	Page	e 76
1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 1 C 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 1 4 1 1 5 1 1 6 6 1 7 1 1 8 1 1 9 2 0 2 1 1 2 2 2 3 2 4 4 2 5 5	directed at DOJ, right. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. Just so I understand, and perhaps this is my confusion, how many drafts of this letter do you recall seeing? A. I can only recall the first draft with the blanks in it, before this document here. Q. Are the responses in this document accurate? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who, if anybody else, Miss McCarthy consulted in drafting the responses in this November 8th fax? A. I have no knowledge of that. Q. Do you know if she talked to Miss Maybee? A. I have no knowledge of that. Q. Did there come a point in time that you drafted an affidavit regarding the destruction of e-mails that took place during the ten-month period between December 2001 and October 2002? A. The question is?		1 A. Yes, they are. 2 Q. Did you prepare this declaration? 3 A. I didn't personally have it typed. I 4 consulted in the preparation of the document, 5 provided the factual information. 6 Q. Who did you consult with? 7 MR. RAUH: Objection. You can answer 8 that so long as it doesn't involve conversations 9 with Miss Campbell or myself. 10 MR. BALARAN: Well, he can say if he 11 consulted with you. I certainly wouldn't ask the 12 content of it. 13 MR. RAUH: Then that's fine. 14 BY MR. BALARAN: 15 Q. I just asked with whom you consulted. 16 A. Mr. Rauh and Miss Campbell. 17 Q. Did you consult with the Office of the 18 Solicitor? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Were you made aware of the fact that at 21 one point in time I asked for a declaration or 22 affidavit from you attesting to the truth of the 23 statements contained in Miss McCarthy's letter 24 dated November 8, 2002? 25 A. No, I was not.	
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	e-mails? A. Yes. MR. BALARAN: Is that unclear. MR. RAUH: I think it was a declaration, but that may be a distinction without a difference. MR. BALARAN: Okay. (McCaleb Exhibit 5 marked for identification.) MR. BALARAN: Let's go off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I have just presented you with Exhibit 5, the legend of which is Declaration of Assistant Secretary Neal A. McCaleb. I would like you to look at this document and tell me if you've ever seen it before. A. Yes. Q. Is this in fact your declaration concerning the events surrounding the destruction of e-mails during the ten-month period?	Page 75	Page 1 Q. Then what were the circumstances under 2 which you created this declaration? 3 A. I thought it would be useful, in 4 consultation with my counsel, to set down the 5 circumstances as I remembered them. 6 Q. So you came about this on your own; is 7 that correct? 8 A. Yes, in consultation with my counsel. 9 Q. I understand. How many drafts of this 10 declaration did you go through? 11 A. I only remember one draft. 12 Q. Isn't it in fact true that a draft 13 affidavit was prepared for your signature at one 14 point in time? 15 A. Yes, there was. 16 Q. Who prepared that? 17 A. Miss Martin. 18 Q. Okay. And is Miss Martin an attorney? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Is Miss Martin your counsel? 21 A. She was at one time General Counsel for	77

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

24

22 the Assistant Secretary. She is not General

that I just referred to, did she do this in her

Q. When she prepared the draft affidavit

23 Counsel now.

		Page 78	Page 80
1	capacity as General Counsel?		1 Q. Do you know if anybody did?
2	the state of the s		2 A. I have no knowledge of that.
3	e i miationalia out i just want to be		3 Q. Did you ask her what this was?
4	y said security you are state that at one		4 A. I knew what it was, it's an affidavit.
5	point she acted as General Counsel.		5 Q. Well, had she prepared any other
6	A. Correct.		6 affidavits for you since you have been Assistant
7	c and dance to you again, this		7 Secretary at the Department of the Interior?
8	draft affidavit that I refer to, did she prepare		8 A. No.
9	it in her capacity as General Counsel?		9 Q. So this is the first affidavit, is that
10	A. Sir, I don't know what capacity she		10 correct?
11	prepared it in. I didn't ask for it and I didn't		11 A. That's correct.
12			12 Q. Has she prepared any other legal
13	Q. Did you review it?		13 documents for you since you have been assistant
14			14 secretary?
15	Q. Okay. Do you have any idea what		15 A. Yes.
16	inspired her to create such a document?		16 Q. What other legal documents has she
17	A. No.		17 prepared?
18	(McCaleb Exhibit 6 marked for		18 A. Well, in her role as General Counsel,
19	identification.)		19 she prepared a great many legal documents.
20	BY MR. BALARAN:		20 Q. But how about when she wasn't General
21	Q. I'm handing you a document that simply		21 Counsel, did she prepare any legal documents for
22	contains the legend affidavit on top. It's a		22 your signature and review?
23	two-page document that has 13 paragraphs with a		
24	signature line and a notary block on the bottom.		i and the same and
25	Take a moment and look at this and I will ask you		j, or the determinations
	and and and and and and a win ask you		25 that I made and prepared them for my signature.
1		D =0	
	Street Co.	Page 79	Page 81
1	if you have ever seen Exhibit 6 before.	Page 79	l Q. But this is the only affidavit that she
2	A. Okay.	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct?
2 3	A. Okay.Q. And my question is, have you ever seen	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct.
2 3 4	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all?
2 3 4 5	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6?	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it.
2 3 4 5 6	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have.	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene 	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin?	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. 	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document?	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about 12 the content of the affidavit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about 12 the content of the affidavit? 13 A. I don't recall that I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about 12 the content of the affidavit? 13 A. I don't recall that I did. 14 Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document.	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about 12 the content of the affidavit? 13 A. I don't recall that I did. 14 Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual 15 that she would create an affidavit for your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about 12 the content of the affidavit? 13 A. I don't recall that I did. 14 Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual 15 that she would create an affidavit for your 16 signature when she was not General Counsel and she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said Mr. McCaleb, sign it?	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about 12 the content of the affidavit? 13 A. I don't recall that I did. 14 Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual 15 that she would create an affidavit for your 16 signature when she was not General Counsel and she 17 was not so instructed to do so by you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said Mr. McCaleb, sign it? A. No, she didn't. She presented it to me	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about 12 the content of the affidavit? 13 A. I don't recall that I did. 14 Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual 15 that she would create an affidavit for your 16 signature when she was not General Counsel and she 17 was not so instructed to do so by you? 18 A. I assumed that she was acting in what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said Mr. McCaleb, sign it? A. No, she didn't. She presented it to me for my consideration.	Page 79	1 Q. But this is the only affidavit that she 2 prepared; is that correct? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. And you didn't question it at all? 5 A. I didn't sign it. 6 Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't 7 question it at all? You didn't ask her any 8 questions concerning the origin, why she created 9 this affidavit? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. You didn't ask her any questions about 12 the content of the affidavit? 13 A. I don't recall that I did. 14 Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual 15 that she would create an affidavit for your 16 signature when she was not General Counsel and she 17 was not so instructed to do so by you? 18 A. I assumed that she was acting in what 19 she thought was my best interests.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said Mr. McCaleb, sign it? A. No, she didn't. She presented it to me for my consideration. Q. Did you instruct her to create such a	Page 79	Q. But this is the only affidavit that she prepared; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you didn't question it at all? A. I didn't sign it. Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't question it at all? You didn't ask her any questions concerning the origin, why she created this affidavit? A. No, I did not. Q. You didn't ask her any questions about the content of the affidavit? A. I don't recall that I did. Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual that she would create an affidavit for your signature when she was not General Counsel and she was not so instructed to do so by you? A. I assumed that she was acting in what she thought was my best interests. Q. Even though this is the first time she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said Mr. McCaleb, sign it? A. No, she didn't. She presented it to me for my consideration. Q. Did you instruct her to create such a document?	Page 79	Q. But this is the only affidavit that she prepared; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you didn't question it at all? A. I didn't sign it. Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't question it at all? You didn't ask her any questions concerning the origin, why she created this affidavit? A. No, I did not. Q. You didn't ask her any questions about the content of the affidavit? A. I don't recall that I did. Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual that she would create an affidavit for your signature when she was not General Counsel and she was not so instructed to do so by you? A. I assumed that she was acting in what she thought was my best interests. Q. Even though this is the first time she had ever done so?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said Mr. McCaleb, sign it? A. No, she didn't. She presented it to me for my consideration. Q. Did you instruct her to create such a document? A. No, I did not.	Page 79	Q. But this is the only affidavit that she prepared; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you didn't question it at all? A. I didn't sign it. Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't question it at all? You didn't ask her any questions concerning the origin, why she created this affidavit? A. No, I did not. Q. You didn't ask her any questions about the content of the affidavit? A. I don't recall that I did. Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual that she would create an affidavit for your signature when she was not General Counsel and she was not so instructed to do so by you? A. I assumed that she was acting in what she thought was my best interests. Q. Even though this is the first time she had ever done so? A. Well, she always acted in my best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said Mr. McCaleb, sign it? A. No, she didn't. She presented it to me for my consideration. Q. Did you instruct her to create such a document? A. No, I did not. Q. Did you ask her to create such a	Page 79	Q. But this is the only affidavit that she prepared; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you didn't question it at all? A. I didn't sign it. Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't question it at all? You didn't ask her any questions concerning the origin, why she created this affidavit? A. No, I did not. Q. You didn't ask her any questions about the content of the affidavit? A. I don't recall that I did. Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual that she would create an affidavit for your signature when she was not General Counsel and she was not so instructed to do so by you? A. I assumed that she was acting in what she thought was my best interests. Q. Even though this is the first time she had ever done so? A. Well, she always acted in my best interests.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. Q. And my question is, have you ever seen this document with the legend affidavit on the top, that I have had give you as Exhibit 6? A. I have. Q. Is that the document that you referred to before as being the one given to you by Aurene Martin? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me the circumstances under which Miss Martin gave you this document? A. When I returned from my trip following about the 20th of October, she provided me with this document. Q. She just came into your office and said Mr. McCaleb, sign it? A. No, she didn't. She presented it to me for my consideration. Q. Did you instruct her to create such a document? A. No, I did not.	Page 79	Q. But this is the only affidavit that she prepared; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And you didn't question it at all? A. I didn't sign it. Q. I didn't ask you that. You didn't question it at all? You didn't ask her any questions concerning the origin, why she created this affidavit? A. No, I did not. Q. You didn't ask her any questions about the content of the affidavit? A. I don't recall that I did. Q. Didn't you find it somewhat unusual that she would create an affidavit for your signature when she was not General Counsel and she was not so instructed to do so by you? A. I assumed that she was acting in what she thought was my best interests. Q. Even though this is the first time she had ever done so? A. Well, she always acted in my best

_				
	Page 8.	2		Page 84
1	A. This is the first time she ever created	1	October 10th, that I began to systematically	
2		2		
3	Q. And you did not discuss the contents	3	capacity, and I went through there was only a	
4		4	few days in October, I went through September, and	
5	A. No.	5	that's when I asked Mrs. Maybee, before I	
6	Q. Did you discuss the contents of this	6	eradicated all these sent e-mails, I asked her	
7	affidavit with anybody?	7	about the backup at that point. When she said	
8		8	that she had not, I stopped at that point.	
9	MR. BALARAN: No problem.	9	Q. Okay. Let's go back to this number 13,	
10		10		
111		11	however. So you did in fact delete e-mails located in the sent box, correct?	
12	, , ,	12		
13		13	in the first period of time, beptember and	
14	,	14	the first ten days of October.	
15	The state of the s	15	Q. So the statement that first came to me	
16	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16	that the deletions of incoming e-mails had	
17	you, did you discuss the contents of this	17	strike that. Going back to the first, to the	
18	affidavit with anybody.		exhibit of October 16th	
19	A. Yes.	18	MS. CAMPBELL: Mr. Balaran, are you	
20	Q. With whom?	19	relating to Cobell related e-mails or all e-mails?	
21	A. My counsel.	20	MR. BALARAN: I will get to that in a	
22		21	moment. The October 16th, 2002 letter that first	
23	Q. Private or professional? I should say,	22	advised me says, please advise the special	
	we are all professionals, excuse me. Your	23	master	
24	personal counsel or	24	MR. RAUH: Excuse me. October 16th was	
25	A. My personal counsel.	25	not addressed to you.	
<u> </u>				
		1		
	Page 83			Page 85
1		Ι.) (D. D. (Z. (Z. (Z. (Z. (Z. (Z. (Z. (Z. (Z. (Z	1 450 05
2	Q. Did you discuss this with the Office of the Solicitor?	1	MR. BALARAN: I'm sorry, I apologize,	
		2	that was addressed to the Department of Justice.	
3	A. No.	3	It says, please advise the special master that it	
- 4	Q. Did you discuss it with the Department of Justice?	4	appears that e-mail messages received by the	
J	Of Justice?	1 5	Assistant Secretory Indian Affairs from	

- of Justice?
- 6 A. No.

9

16

- 7 Q. Was it your decision not to sign this 8 document?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are the contents of this document accurate? Are the statements made in this 11 12 affidavit accurate?
- 13 A. Most are. There are some that aren't.
- 14 Q. Tell me which ones are not.
- 15 A. 13.
 - Q. I have never deleted e-mail that is
- 17 located in the sent box of my e-mail account. 18
 - A. That's not accurate.
- O. What would be the truth? 19
- A. I started backing up from current times
- in the first of October in response to the message
- that I got that the threshold memory had been 22
- 23 exceeded.
- 24 Q. October of what year?
- 25 A. 2002. It was on the same day as

- Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs, from
- approximately December 1st, 2001 to approximately 7
 - October 1st, 2002, may have been deleted before
- 8 they were printed and filed.
 - BY MR. BALARAN:
- 10 Q. Now, am I to understand now from your testimony that it's not just incoming e-mails that 11 were deleted, but also outgoing e-mails that were
- 13

9

- 14 A. The vast majority of the outgoing 15
 - e-mails, the sent e-mails are still there, and they're printed. The only ones that were deleted
- are the ones from October 10th back through the 17 month of September, or maybe a couple days of 18
- August, and that's when I raised the question and 19
- 20 that's when I stopped deleting them. 21
- Q. So my question to you is that this 22 statement -- strike that. Okay.
- 23 You stated at one point that you got a 24 message of some sort informing you that your box
- 25 was full or something like that?

	w asningto		
1 A. Yes. 2 Q. What was the message that you received? 3 A. I don't recall it precisely but 4 essentially the content of the message was, you're 5 running out of memory capacity in your e-mails, 6 you need to delete some of your e-mails. 7 Q. Did you call anybody to discuss this? 8 A. No, I did not. 9 Q. You didn't discuss it with Miss Maybee? 10 A. No, I did not. 11 Q. With the e-mail administrator? 12 A. No, I did not. 13 Q. With Clarence? 14 A. No, I did not. 15 Q. Was there a reason that you chose not 16 to discuss what the ramifications of having an 17 e-mail message like this was? 18 A. Well, the ramifications were that I 19 needed to reduce the number of e-mails that I had 20 stored, and I thought that they were being 21 electronically saved. 22 Q. And I understand that's your position 23 and we will explore that in a moment, but when you 24 deleted your e-mails, did you delete them from 25 your in box?	Page 86	thought they were being saved. Q. Even though you hadn't printed them? A. Yes, sir. Q. Are you aware of the fact that once you, if you had not deleted from your trash box, they would still be on your computer? A. I am now. I wasn't then. Q. Why didn't you go seek some information? A. I should have. Q. Any of the information that you deleted from your sent box, were any of those communications Cobell related communications? A. To the best of my knowledge, they were not. I can't tell you absolutely that there weren't some. I was systematically going through them and I don't remember seeing any e-mails that related to the three specified areas of the Cobell litigation. Q. Is it your practice to read the practices and directives that come to you from the Office of the Solicitor, the Assistant Secretary-Policy, Management and Budget? A. Yes, sir, it is. I get copious notes	Page 88
A. Primarily. Q. Where else did you delete them? A. Well, I deleted the sent e-mails for that month and odd days that I just answered to. Q. Any other boxes that you deleted e-mails from? A. No, I don't know that I have any boxes besides inbound and sent. Q. Well, do you have a drafts box? A. Yes, I do. Q. Did you delete e-mails from your drafts box? A. No. Q. Do you have a trash box? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete e-mails from your trash box? A. Yes. Q. Did you delete e-mails from your trash box? A. Yes, I thought that was part of the cleaning process. I transferred them to the trash box and I didn't delete them, but there was a little circle figure there and I would hit that because if I didn't, they stayed there. Q. Why did you do that, why did you delete them from the trash box? A. Because I was through with them and I	2	operatives, and I can't tell you that I remember them all. I will tell you that I remember this one. Q. Are you aware that there came a point in time that the special master drafted an opinion related to the destruction of e-mails in this litigation? A. I don't have a specific knowledge of that. Q. Do you have even a vague knowledge of that? A. I had a knowledge that we were supposed to save them, that was clear. Q. Do you believe that, we were talking before about what the definition of Indian Trust records are. Do you believe that there were any communications that you deleted that were Indian Trust records? A. No. Q. Even though they related to A. Well, let me readdress that issue. The IIM account daily reports clearly had information about Indian Trust records. I deleted those, we recovered them.	Page 89

2

19

A. Yes.

1		
	Page 90	Page 92
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	other Cobell related material, is that not correct? MR. RAUH: Objection, it's not correct. MR. BALARAN: That's fine. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. Testify now. Have you deleted anything besides the OIRM monthly reports that would be part of the three functional areas? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. (McCaleb Exhibit 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I'm handing you a series of documents that were given to me in response to my request for Interior's policies on retaining, printing and filing Cobell related e-mail messages, and they are Bates stamped SMREQ0024950 and it goes through SMREQ0024997. A. I'm not whereabouts is that? Q. It's on the bottom right-hand. A. Oh, okay. Q. You see 24950? A. Yes. Q. And the last page is 24997?	and others. Do you see this document? A. Yes. Q. And I believe the document is three pages long. Can you take a look at that? A. (Perusing.) All right. Q. On the second page there is a cc. list. Would you take a look at that, and would you notice that second from the bottom is the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs? A. Yes. Q. And since the date is August 3rd, 2001, at that point in time you were the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, correct? A. I had been for 29 days. Q. Does that have well, you're saying that for what relevance, what purpose? A. That's just a fact. Q. Okay. So you were now the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs for 29 days. Does that in any way vitiate or minimize your responsibility to read directives as they come out? A. No, it does not. I want to imply that there were mountains of policies and information that I had not reviewed and that I was becoming

Page 91

2

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 93

```
MR. RAUH: Mr. Balaran, just so I
 3
     understand, you're saying these documents were
 4
     given to you in separate documents that are now
 5
     combined into a single exhibit.
           MR. BALARAN: That is correct. My
 6
 7
     request was for all the policies -- let me just
 8
     explain it for the record. I requested all the
     policies regarding e-mail, Cobell related e-mail
10
     saving, retention, printing and filing, and this
11
     is what was given to me.
12
           BY MR. BALARAN:
       Q. Did you review these documents before
13
14
    they were transmitted to me in response to my
```

15 request? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Okay. I want to turn your attention, 18 if I may, to the document with the Bates stamp

SMREO0024959. A. I think I have that document.

20 O. And that's a document on the letterhead 21 of the United States Department of the Interior Office of the Secretary, dated August 3rd, 2001, and it's a memorandum from Daryl White, the Chief

Information Officer, to Acting Associate Solicitor

acquainted with in a very short period of time. Q. Can you think of anything among your

3 trust responsibilities that are more important 4 than retaining documents relating to the Trust? And when I'm talking about the Trust I'm not talking law enforcement, I'm not talking education, I'm talking about fiduciary responsibilities of the United States Government vis-a-vis the IIM beneficiaries. 10

MR. RAUH: Objection. MR. BALARAN: Fine, noted. Please

answer. THE WITNESS: Yes, I can think of some. BY MR. BALARAN:

Q. Please tell me.

A. The maintenance of the honesty and integrity of the system and the people who operate the system.

Q. Give me an example of the maintenance and integrity of the system.

21 A. Well, if I determine that there is somebody that is misappropriating IIM account 22 23 funds, I think that's more important than the record, because that's what we're trying to 24 25 protect with the record is the funds.

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

		Page 94			Page 96
1	Q. I see, okay. Do you recall reading		1	Q. Did you do so intentionally?	
2			2	A. Yes.	
3	A. No, I don't.		3	Q. Did you do so knowingly?	
5	Q. Let me just finish. Do you recall		4	A. Yes.	
6	reading this memorandum that is dated August 3rd, 2001?		5	Q. So when you said that you did not	
7	A. No, I don't.		6	destroy them, was that based on the assumption	
8	Q. Okay. I would like to turn your		7 8	that somebody else was saving them?	
9	attention back again to the Declaration of		9	A. That's right, and that they were	
10	Assistant Secretary Neal McCaleb that is		10	recoverable. Destroy implies that they're gone. Q. What's the Department policy for	
11	Exhibit 5. Okay?		11	recovering and capturing e-mails, do you know?	
12	MR. RAUH: I'm just trying to		12	A. I don't have any specific knowledge of	
13	concentrate on this last exhibit you've shown him.		13	that.	
14	If I understand it correctly, it is a memorandum		14	Q. Well, tell me what it is generally.	
15	to a series of chief information officers with		15	A. I was under the impression, I was told	
16	copies to a series of people shown on page 60, and		16	that we were making backup tapes of all the	
17	then an attachment to that. Is that correct?		17	e-mails. I was also told by my assistant that she	
18	MR. BALARAN: That's correct.		18	was making files.	
19	MR. RAUH: That's the three pages?		19	Q. Let's go through the policy of backups.	
20	MR. BALARAN: That's correct.		20	I have to focus your attention on the question	
21	MR. RAUH: So in this case Mr. McCaleb		21	asked. What is the policy, can you state it in	
22 23	was a copy?		22	either form or in substance, what is the	
24	MR. BALARAN: I don't believe I implied		23	Department of the Interior's policy concerning the	
25	anything other, and again, I acknowledged that you were in office for 29 days at the time.		24	capture and backup of e-mails?	
23	were in office for 29 days at the time.		25	A. That backup tapes are made for all	
<u> </u>					
		Page 95			Page 97
1	BY MR. BALARAN	Page 95	1	e-mails	Page 97
1 2	BY MR. BALARAN: O. Going back to your declaration. I would	Page 95	1 2	e-mails.	Page 97
1 2 3	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would	Page 95	2	Q. And how often does this happen?	Page 97
		Page 95	2	Q. And how often does this happen?A. I have become aware recently that they	Page 97
3	 Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did 	Page 95	2	Q. And how often does this happen?A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly.	Page 97
3 4 5 6	 Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor 	Page 95	2 3 4	Q. And how often does this happen?A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly.Q. Were you aware of that at the time that	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? 	Page 95	2 3 4 5	Q. And how often does this happen?A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly.Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails?	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. 	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails?	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a 	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? 	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up.	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was.	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks.	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor,	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration?	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption?	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration? A. No.	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption? A. I did make that assumption.	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration? A. No. Q. It says, I Neal A. McCaleb, this 19th	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption? A. I did make that assumption. Q. Was it based on something you read?	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration? A. No. Q. It says, I Neal A. McCaleb, this 19th day of November, 2002, hereby declare under	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption? A. I did make that assumption. Q. Was it based on something you read? A. I don't know.	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration? A. No. Q. It says, I Neal A. McCaleb, this 19th day of November, 2002, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows: I am the Assistant	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption? A. I did make that assumption. Q. Was it based on something you read? A. I don't know. Q. Let's go into what you believe Miss	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration? A. No. Q. It says, I Neal A. McCaleb, this 19th day of November, 2002, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows: I am the Assistant Secretary of the Department of the Interior for Indian Affairs. I did not knowingly or	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption? A. I did make that assumption. Q. Was it based on something you read? A. I don't know. Q. Let's go into what you believe Miss Maybee was to do. What was your understanding of	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration? A. No. Q. It says, I Neal A. McCaleb, this 19th day of November, 2002, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows: I am the Assistant Secretary of the Department of the Interior for Indian Affairs. I did not knowingly or intentionally destroy any e-mails. Did you delete	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption? A. I did make that assumption. Q. Was it based on something you read? A. I don't know. Q. Let's go into what you believe Miss Maybee was to do. What was your understanding of what Miss Maybee was to do vis-a-vis your e-mails?	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration? A. No. Q. It says, I Neal A. McCaleb, this 19th day of November, 2002, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows: I am the Assistant Secretary of the Department of the Interior for Indian Affairs. I did not knowingly or intentionally destroy any e-mails. Did you delete the e-mails?	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption? A. I did make that assumption. Q. Was it based on something you read? A. I don't know. Q. Let's go into what you believe Miss Maybee was to do. What was your understanding of what Miss Maybee was to do vis-a-vis your e-mails? A. That she was making files and taking	Page 97
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Going back to your declaration, I would like to go through this with you if I may. A. That's fine. Q. And just so I'm absolutely clear, did you review this with the Office of the Solicitor before this was tendered? A. No, I did not. Q. Did they know that you were preparing a declaration? A. No, or at least I didn't tell them that I was. Q. After this declaration was submitted to me, did anybody from the Office of the Solicitor, Department of Justice contact you concerning this declaration? A. No. Q. It says, I Neal A. McCaleb, this 19th day of November, 2002, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows: I am the Assistant Secretary of the Department of the Interior for Indian Affairs. I did not knowingly or intentionally destroy any e-mails. Did you delete	Page 95	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And how often does this happen? A. I have become aware recently that they are backed up weekly. Q. Were you aware of that at the time that you were deleting these e-mails? A. Not specifically that they were backed up weekly. Q. Then how could you assume you testified earlier that you assumed that they were backed up. A. Because I would leave them on there for a couple of weeks. Q. But again, if you didn't know what the policy for the backing up was, how can you possibly make such an assumption? A. I did make that assumption. Q. Was it based on something you read? A. I don't know. Q. Let's go into what you believe Miss Maybee was to do. What was your understanding of what Miss Maybee was to do vis-a-vis your e-mails?	Page 97

		Washin	ngton, D.C.	
1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, was she filing and saving e-mails that you had deleted? A. She was filing and saving them before they were deleted. Q. So an e-mail would come in, she would file and save it and then you would delete it, correct? A. An e-mail would come in, I left them all on there for a couple of weeks to give her adequate time to file them in separate folders. Q. And then you would delete them? A. Ultimately I began to delete them after I got the message that the capacity of the memory was being reached. Q. Did you instruct Miss Maybee to file and save your e-mails? A. No, I did not. Q. Did you instruct her to archive your	Page 98	Let's do it this way. Did she say it when you first came on in July? A. No, I would say that I became aware that she was doing that in the fall. Q. Fall of what year? A. Fall of 2001. Q. And what circumstance in the fall of 2001 came about that you would have had this discussion? A. Because we were disconnected from the Internet and before I had taken my computer laptop with me so I could pick up the e-mails, and now I couldn't do that anymore. And she in the conversation advised that she was filing those e-mails. Q. Now, explain to me why explaining that she was filing would be commensurate with explaining that she was saving. Isn't it true, sir, that she simply said that she was going to create files for you, different folders that you could put your e-mails in? MR. RAUH: Objection. Is there a basis for that question? MR. BALARAN: Well I'm just asking. BY MR. BALARAN:	100
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	instructions in another context were on Post-Its, et cetera, that you gave instructions, but here something in preserving documents, at least the OIRM morning reports, you gave no such written instructions? A. Because I was advised that she was. Q. Who advised you? A. She did. Q. Tell me the conversation in which she advised you of that.	Page 99	Page 1 1 Q. Did she tell you that? 2 A. I don't recall that. My recollection 3 is that there was a method of saving the e-mail. 4 Q. Did there come a point in time that 5 Miss Maybee created folders for your e-mails? 6 A. That's what my understanding was. 7 Q. And where did you come by that 8 understanding? 9 A. From her. 10 Q. And was this during the same	01

- advised you of that.
- A. I don't have a specific recollection of 11 the conversation when she advised me of that. 12 13
 - Q. At what point in time did she --
- 14 A. Nor did I have a recollection of how her filing systems operated, but I assume that 15
- 16 she's filing all the documents that I send to her 17 to file.
- 18 Q. But you're testifying based on an 19 assumption and you're stating that you assumed that she was performing an act, and I'm simply
- asking you, what's the basis for your assumption? 21 22
- A. Because she said that she was.
- 23 Q. And when did she say this?
- A. And I can't give you a specific date. 24
- 25 Q. And I'm not asking for a specific date.

- Q. And was this during the same 11 conversation?
- A. I think. I don't have a specific 12
- 13 recollection. Q. And I appreciate that. Is this what
- 15 you meant by Miss Maybee is filing your e-mails? 16 A. Yes.

 - Q. Do you have any distinct recollection of Miss Maybee saying, I will save your e-mails?
 - A. No, but in fact she was.
 - Q. How do you know that?
- 21 A. She said that that was her -- when we
- 22 began to discuss -- I was gone for long absences,
- 23 over half my time has been away from the office in
- 24 conducting the consultations and task force
- 25 hearings with the Indian tribal task force in

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

17

18

19

20

	Page 102			Page 104
1	Indian country. So, I would have two weeks of	1.	e-mails?	Page 104
2	e-mails or a week of e-mails in accumulation, and	1 2		
3	she was I think explaining to me how we were going	3		
4	to manage that.	4	c and you	:
5	Q. And this was in the same time frame,	5		
6	correct, this roughly Thanksgiving	6	very concerned about the preservation of e-mails	
7	A. I started that travel schedule in	7	and she made a point, talked about her before	
8	November.	8	she left in June of 2002 about the extensive	
9	Q. The Thanksgiving period of 2001	9	files that she had built up as deputy	
10	roughly?	10		
11	A. Right.	11	Q. And how many such conversations do you	
12	Q. Did she state to you she was going to	12	,	
13 14	archive your e-mails?	13	A. I think it was probably more than one.	
15	A. I can't say that she said that specific word, no.	14	Q. Was would you say it was more than	
16	Q. Okay. Number 3. Shortly after I	15	five?	
17	I'm reading from your declaration, the third	16 17	A. I don't know that it was more than five.	
18	paragraph shortly after I was sworn in to	18		
19	office on July 4th, 2001, it was my impression	19	Q. Can you tell me what the general tenor or substance of these conversations was?	
20	that my administrative assistant was saving my	20	A. Well, she was talking, she had a lot of	
21	e-mail messages relating to American Indian trust	21	boxes around her office and she wanted to come	
22	reform, including the high level implementation	22	back in the office after she had resigned, and she	
23	plan, any of its subprojects, the Cobell v. Norton	23	needed to have the keys so that she could sort	
24	litigation, and administration of Individual	24	through her e-mails and her files.	
25	Indian Money accounts hereinafter Cobell-related	25	Q. And what was the conversation itself?	
1	Page 103 e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee	1	A. Well, I told you the substance that I	Page 105
2	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss	2	A. Well, I told you the substance that I can remember.	Page 105
2	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American	2 3	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm	Page 105
2 3 4	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform?	2 3 4	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry?	Page 105
2	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't	2 3 4 5	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she	Page 105
2 3 4 5	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not	2 3 4 5 6	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with.	2 3 4 5	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was	2 3 4 5 6 7	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these.	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails?	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was.	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some instruction or direction you gave her on how to tell what a Cobell-related e-mail was versus another one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that it's important to retain Cobell-related e-mails?	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some instruction or direction you gave her on how to tell what a Cobell-related e-mail was versus another one? A. That was my expectation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that it's important to retain Cobell-related e-mails? A. That was implied in the conversation.	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some instruction or direction you gave her on how to tell what a Cobell-related e-mail was versus another one? A. That was my expectation. Q. I understand, but did you give her any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that it's important to retain Cobell-related e-mails?	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some instruction or direction you gave her on how to tell what a Cobell-related e-mail was versus another one? A. That was my expectation. Q. I understand, but did you give her any direction that would assist her in that regard?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that it's important to retain Cobell-related e-mails? A. That was implied in the conversation. I don't know that she directed it just like that, but that's why Q. That's why I said in form or in	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some instruction or direction you gave her on how to tell what a Cobell-related e-mail was versus another one? A. That was my expectation. Q. I understand, but did you give her any direction that would assist her in that regard? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that it's important to retain Cobell-related e-mails? A. That was implied in the conversation. I don't know that she directed it just like that, but that's why Q. That's why I said in form or in substance, something to that effect?	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some instruction or direction you gave her on how to tell what a Cobell-related e-mail was versus another one? A. That was my expectation. Q. I understand, but did you give her any direction that would assist her in that regard? A. No. Q. Did there come a point in time that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that it's important to retain Cobell-related e-mails? A. That was implied in the conversation. I don't know that she directed it just like that, but that's why Q. That's why I said in form or in substance, something to that effect? A. Uh-huh.	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some instruction or direction you gave her on how to tell what a Cobell-related e-mail was versus another one? A. That was my expectation. Q. I understand, but did you give her any direction that would assist her in that regard? A. No. Q. Did there come a point in time that you have a conversation with Miss Sharon Blackwell,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that it's important to retain Cobell-related e-mails? A. That was implied in the conversation. I don't know that she directed it just like that, but that's why Q. That's why I said in form or in substance, something to that effect? A. Uh-huh. Q. Did she convey that?	Page 105
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	e-mails. Did your discussion with Miss Maybee during this November 2001 time frame discuss specifically messages relating to the American Indian trust reform? A. Yes, I think they did, but I don't really recall. I think she was trying to save not only Cobell-related e-mails, but e-mails she thought that I had not dealt with. Q. How did you expect Miss Maybee, who was a GS-12 staff assistant, to know what a trust-related e-mail was? A. Well, she was my administrative assistant and I expected that. Q. And did you expect that because of some instruction or direction you gave her on how to tell what a Cobell-related e-mail was versus another one? A. That was my expectation. Q. I understand, but did you give her any direction that would assist her in that regard? A. No. Q. Did there come a point in time that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	can remember. Q. Well, if you could repeat it, I'm sorry? A. That in fact she had felt the need, she had accumulated extensive files of e-mails that she needed to catalog, and that space needed to be set aside in the office to retain these. Q. Did she convey to you at any point in time the importance of retaining and preserving e-mails? A. Well, just from the standpoint that she was. Q. But did she impress upon you, did she say specifically in form or some substance that it's important to retain Cobell-related e-mails? A. That was implied in the conversation. I don't know that she directed it just like that, but that's why Q. That's why I said in form or in substance, something to that effect? A. Uh-huh.	Page 105

Page 108

	•		
	Page 106		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	habit of burning her e-mails on a CD? A. No. Q. Do you have any knowledge that Miss Blackwell at times would come in on weekends with her staff in order to categorize and make folders for her e-mails? A. She did not share that with me. Q. Well, did anybody else share that with you? A. No. Q. Was it Miss Maybee's job to save your e-mails? A. I thought it was, yeah, just as I thought it was her job to handle the filing. She was my administrative assistant. Q. Even though, you realize her title was staff assistant? A. I didn't know what her title was, I think I said that earlier. Q. Okay. A. I have always used the title	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	categories that you would know just by the heading constituted the three functional areas, correct? A. That's right. Q. How about if I sent something that said LLRIS? A. I wouldn't presume that that was on its face a Cobell-related document. Q. How about just the three I was asking not Cobell as much as the three functional areas. Is that the same answer? A. Yes. Q. How about if I have something that says IRMS, would you assume that an e-mail with the heading IRMS would fall within the category of under the three functional areas? A. Not necessarily. Q. Okay. MS. KESSLER: The question was on its face. MR. BALARAN: Well, all you see is the subject heading.
22	administrative assistant.	22	MS. KESSLER: There could be more in
22 23 24 25	administrative assistant. Q. Is there a reason you didn't use her name specifically in the declaration? MR. RAUH: Objection.	22 23 24 25	MS. KESSLER: There could be more in the e-mail. MR. BALARAN: Well, then let's go through it.

Page 107

6

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 109

```
MR. BALARAN: That's all right, just
you personally. Do you have a reason to not
answer that? Strike that. That's quite all
right. I don't need an answer to that.
      BY MR. BALARAN:
```

Q. Do you know of any other administrative assistants or staff assistants in the office that saved the e-mails of their bosses, supervisors, et cetera?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

25

A. At the Department of Interior, I have no specific knowledge.

Q. Do you know whether it's policy, 12 13 written or unwritten, for staff assistants or 14 administrative assistants to do so? 15

A. I have no knowledge of that.

Q. How do you distinguish between an e-mail that falls within one of the three functional areas or one that does not?

19 A. If it says Cobell on it, I assume it's 20 Cobell related. If it speaks of the litigation,

21 it will always say Cobell on it. If it's IIM

account related such as the daily reports, that's

23 self evident on its face. If it has to do with

the TMIP, it will say TMIP on it. 24

Q. And so you had a certain number of

BY MR. BALARAN:

Q. When somebody like Jean Maybee sees 2 3 your screen, would she see just the subject 4 heading of the e-mail, or would she see the whole 5 e-mail?

A. Well, she could open the e-mail.

Q. So could open it, I understand, but would it normally just have the heading?

A. Normally it just says who the letter 10 came from and sometimes it will have a subject, but most of the time, or many times it doesn't

have a subject, you have to open the e-mails.

Q. Did you instruct Miss Maybee to open your e-mails?

A. I gave her full access to them.

Q. That wasn't what I asked. Did you instruct her to do so?

A. Not specifically.

Q. Well, she certainly couldn't open the ones that were encrypted?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Anything besides the OIRM morning

23 reports that were encrypted?

24 A. Not to my knowledge. I didn't have any

25 knowledge that they were encrypted.

8

9

12

15

16

17

23

6

16

17

Page 112

Page 113

Page 110

1 Q. I understand. So if Miss Maybee would 2 open up an e-mail just to satisfy the question, if 3 Miss Maybee would open up an e-mail and see on the heading that it said LLRIS or IRMS, is it your 5 testimony that it may or may not be something that falls within the three functional areas? 7

- A. That's correct.
- O. Okav.

8 Number 4. Even though I thought my 9 10 Cobell-related e-mails were being electronically saved, it was initially my practice to leave all 11 12 Cobell-related e-mails on my computer 13 indefinitely. In the late spring or early summer of 2002 I began receiving automatic messages on my 14 computer stating that I had exceeded the maximum 15 memory threshold allowable, and that I might not 17 be able to send or receive e-mails if I did not 18 delete e-mails. That was the first time you had 19 received such a message?

20 A. Yes.

21

1

2

3

4

- Q. How many such messages did you receive?
- 22 A. They began to pop up with some 23 frequency. It didn't say I had exceeded it, it
- said I was approaching the threshold of my memory 24
- 25 allocation.

- Budget, to the Office of the Secretary, and you're
- 2 the second in the line, the Assistant
- 3 Secretary-Indian Affairs, correct? 4
 - A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So you're not just copied on this, this 6 is directed to you second in line, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And this is November 28, 2001, correct?
 - A.
- 10 Q. At this point you have been in office 11 for several months?
 - A. Four.
- 13 Q. Well, if you came in July 4th, I would 14 argue that it's even more than four months.
 - A. Well, five, not five complete months, but nearly five.
 - Q. And the subject matter of this,
- 18 document retention related to trust management and
- 19 Individual Indian Money accounts. Why don't you
- 20 look through this memo and its attachments, which
- 21 are only two pages, I believe, and tell me if I
- 22 you recall ever seeing this document.
 - A. I don't recall having seen the document
- 24 itself. I was aware generally of its content.
- 25 Q. Then let's turn to the second page of

Page 111

- Q. Okay. As a result of these messages, I began immediately deleting all e-mail messages that were not Cobell related.
 - A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. I want to turn your attention again if 6 I may to Exhibit 7, which were the policies on 7 retaining, printing and filing Cobell related 8 e-mail messages. I'm sorry, it's the big thick 9 one. I'm not referring to anything specific, I'm just going to the bottom of the documents 10 themselves. I want to refer you to this document 11 12 again, and this was appended to the November 8th, 13 2002 letter from Miss McCarthy to Mr. Miller at the Department of Justice that was in response to 14

15 my requests. 16 And let's take a moment if we can, if 17 you turn the page, it says Interior's policies in retaining, printing and filing Cobell-related e-mail messages. The first such memo, which is 19 Bates stamped on the bottom right-hand corner SMREQ0024952, do you see that?

- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is a memorandum from William G. Myers
- III, the Solicitor, and P. Lynn Scarlett, the
- Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management and

that, if we may. The top of the page says

- 2 reminder instructions to employees about retention
- of e-mail messages. Under printing and filing, do 4 you see that?
- 5
 - A. Yes. Q. It says, you must print to paper and
- file all e-mail messages that you send or receive 8 that relate to the three functional areas. Do you
- 9 see that? 10
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you generally aware of that 11 12 policy?
- 13 A. I really didn't think that we had to print them. 15
 - Q. As you look at them now --
 - A. And the reason I say that, this arrived while I was out of town, I'm specifically aware of
- 18 that, because on November 28th I was at the
- 19 National Congress for American Indians meeting in
- 20 Spokane, Washington. I had injured my leg, I had
- 21 to leave the convention, I went home to be treated
- 22 by my doctor. I was gone for most of the
- 23 following week and when I came back, I had been
- 24 gone for almost two weeks, and there obviously was
- 25 a large accumulation of mail, and I will admit to

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	you that I didn't read every piece of mail that was there. Q. Well, if I could turn your attention to the letter dated November 8th, 2002, would you take a look at that please, Number 4. Take a look on page 3, if you would. And I will direct you to footnote 3; do you see that?	Page 114	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	but I didn't know about the specific memo. Q. Well, let's talk about the content then. Assuming that you didn't read this memo because you were out of town as you state, it says you must print to paper and file all e-mail messages that you send or receive if they relate to the three functional areas. Were you aware of	Page 116
8	A. Yes. Q. And it says, Mr. McCaleb's travel		8 9	that, whether it came from this directive or others?	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	records show he was out of the office from November 12, 2001, and then it goes up until September 23, 2002. Is that correct? A. December. Q. September 23, 2002, at the end, I'm going through the whole length of time. There's various increments therein. A. The first area substantiates what I said, that I was out of the office from November 12th, 2001 until December 2nd, 2001. Q. Okay. Now, did you supply your calendar to Miss McCarthy or anyone else that would have assisted them in writing this footnote? A. Well, I didn't personally supply it. Q. Do you know who did? A. I don't have specific knowledge.	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121	A. I was aware that I needed to save the memos. Q. So you were not aware of the requirement to print to paper and file all e-mail messages that you sent or received? A. No. Q. Okay. Then I will ask you to go down to the first bullet, and we're back to that same page which is SMREQ0024953, and it says above th bullet, you must keep in mind a few additional points about preserving e-mail messages. Both senders and recipients of an e-mail message are equally obligated to print and file it. Were you aware that senders also had an obligation to print and file e-mail messages? A. I was aware that senders had an	e
1	Q. Did you review this before it went out	Page 115	1	obligation to save their e-mail messages.	Page 117
2	to verify its accuracy?	1 .	2	O My question again is a little hit	

to verify its accuracy?

A. I glanced at it, the dates looked reasonable to me, just like I identified that one there, and my calendar as we've indicated before was immediately available to Mrs. Maybee, since she kept the calendar, she managed the calendar.

Q. Do you believe that simply being out of the office on travel somehow diminishes the responsibility --

A. No, sir, I --

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

12 Q. You have to let me finish the question. 13 Do you believe that being out of the office on 14 travel diminishes your responsibility to read the 15 memoranda that are directly addressed to you?

MR. RAUH: Objection. It's argumentative and may call for a legal conclusion. BY MR. BALARAN:

19 Q. You can answer to the best of your 20 ability, please.

A. I don't think it relieved me from the responsibility of knowing what was in them, but there was a real limitation in terms of time of what I could do and what I couldn't do. I

admitted that I knew about what the content was

Q. My question again is a little bit different. Are you aware that senders had an 4 equal obligation to print e-mail messages? 5

A. I'm going to try to respond to this the best way that I can and accurately tell you what I believe.

Q. What period of time are we talking about?

A. Up until October 10th of 2002.

Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

A. That we had to have the ability to print from a recorded source. I didn't think there was a responsibility to print each e-mail 15 each day.

Q. And what are you basing your assumption on?

18 A. The limitations at the time on the 19 assistant secretary.

20 Q. Did somebody tell you that due to the 21 fact that there were limitations of your time as

assistant secretary, you were relieved of the 23 obligation of -- and I understand this is, I'm not

24 asking for a legal conclusion, just based on your

understanding as assistant secretary.

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

	Pa	ge 118		Page 120
1	MR. RAUH: Plus argumentative.		l after they are captured by the systems	
2	MR. BALARAN: Okay.		2 administrator on an e-mail backup that is	
3	BY MR. BALARAN:		designated for indefinite retention. This means	
4	Q. Did somebody at any point in time		4 that even after you have printed and filed e-mail	
5	inform you that based on your schedule, your busy		5 messages related to the three functional areas,	
6	schedule as an assistant secretary, you were		6 you must not delete them until they are backed up.	
7	relieved of the responsibility of printing sent		7 To insure your compliance with this	
8	e-mail messages?		8 policy, please follow this procedure: Be sure	
9	A. Nobody ever specifically advised me of		9 that your computer is set to save your outgoing	
10	that.	1	messages in your sent box. If you are not sure	
11	Q. Did they advise you generally?		whether your computer is set to save your sent	
12	A. I have no recollection.	1 -	12 messages, please consult your e-mail	
13	Q. Did you ever see anything in writing to	I -	13 administrator.	
14	that effect?	1 -	Were you aware or did there come a	
15	A. I have no memory of having ever seen	1 -	point in time that you became aware of the	
16	anything in writing.		r in the jour of the of the	
17	Q. Okay. How many assistant secretaries	1 -	your compater tour to save your	
18	are there for the Department of the Interior?	1 -		
19	A. There are five.		,,,,,,,, .	
20	Q. Do you have meetings with the assistant	I -		
21	secretaries?			
22	A. Yes.		ar and the something that you just aid	
23	Q. I mean, are there like assistant		0 0	
24	secretary conventions?	1 -		
25	A. There's a weekly meeting of the	-	- C Dut has it sured by delicall of was it	
23	A. There's a weekly meeting of the	4	25 saved because you purposely were following the	
	Page	e 119		Page 121
	•	/		i age 121
1	assistant secretaries with the Secretary of the		l instruction, something like this that you	

Interior and her key staff. Q. Did the topic of retention, filing and preservation of e-mails ever come up during any of these meetings that you have referred to?

A. I have no specific recollection that they did. There was a meeting that I was absent from subsequent to the revelation of this event on October 10th, that that was specifically brought

10 up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

17

18

20

21

22

11 Q. How do you know it was brought up? 12

A. Because I got copies of information from the meeting.

14 Q. And what was the information from the 15 meeting? 16

A. It went into great detail on the need to print and save Cobell-related e-mail.

Q. In keeping with the memorandum that I 19 have just shown you?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Let's go to the next page, if we may, SMREQ0024054. Under the topic, insuring

captured e-mail messages on backup media, it says, 23

e-mail messages related to the three functional

areas can be deleted from user mailboxes only

instruction, something like this that you 2 understood?

> A. I wanted to save them because I would 3 use them for reference, a lot of my sent e-mails 5 are instructions to subordinates and I would use 6 them to follow up on.

7 Q. After printing, leave your e-mail messages on the computer without deleting them until they are captured by a backup. Did you do 10 so?

A. I thought I was doing so, yes, sir.

Q. Did you ever get verification that in fact your e-mails were captured by a backup? 13

A. No.

8

9

11

14

15

18

Q. How did you think you were doing so?

A. Because we were told that e-mails were 16 17 all being backed up.

Q. Who told you?A. I don't have the specific recollection 19 20 of that.

21 Q. Do you have a general recollection or a 22 vague recollection?

23 A. I think that information came from

24 Mr. Kayson. 25

Q. And at what point in time did

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

Q. And I believe we touched on this.

Let's go two pages after this to SMREQ0024962.

24

F			_		
		Page 122			Page 124
1	Mr. Kayson give that instruction?		1	Have you ever seen this correspondence before?	_
1 2	A. I can't give you a date.		2	And this states that, there is a to and cc. line	
3	Q. Was that after it was discovered that		$\frac{1}{3}$	that are blank, this is just as it was given to	
4	e-mails during that ten-month period had been		4	me. Subject, all DOI employees reminder to save	
5	deleted?		5	e-mail messages in hard copy. Note to	
6	A. No, it was well before that.		6	supervisors, please insure that employees without	
7	Q. Do you recall the context in which he		7	access to e-mail receive a paper copy of this	
8	said that?		8	message. Have you seen this before?	
9	A. In the discussion of records retention		9	A. I don't have a recollection of having	
10	on the Cobell lawsuit.		10	seen it before.	
11	Q. And in the discussion of records		111	Q. Are you aware that this also says in	
12	retention in the Cobell lawsuit the topic of		12	the second full paragraph, this is a reminder that	
13	e-mails came up?		13	all department personnel are required to print and	
14	A. Yes.		14	properly file a paper copy of all e-mail,	
15	Q. Okay. Do you recall what else was said		15	including transmission data in the message and	
16	during this meeting?		16	attachments, whether sent or received, which	
17	A. No, I can't recall the meeting so I		17	discuss any of the four topics described above.	
18	can't recall specifics of it, and I tried to say		18	Are you aware that that was the requirement,	
19	that, that's my impression. I can't tell you this		19	again, stated in this?	
20	came from Mr. Kayson.		20	A. I wasn't aware of the memo.	
21	Q. All right. It says leave your e-mail		21	Q. Okay. It states here, these procedures	
22	messages in your in or sent box. Do not delete		22	apply to all e-mail regardless of whether the	
23	e-mail messages from your in or sent box until		23	substance of a particular message is contained in	
24	after you receive an e-mail message from your		24	subsequent messages. Were you aware of that	
25	systems administrator that your e-mail messages		25	requirement, that not only did you have to save or	
				•	
		D 122			
		Page 123			Page 125
1	have been backed up for indefinite retention.		1	print, but you also had to do it even if the same	
2	Were you aware that that was a requirement?		2	message was contained in another?	
3	A. I had never received a communication		3	A. No, I was not aware of that.	
4	from my e-mail administrator, as evidenced by the		4	Q. It goes on to say, please note that	
5	fact that I didn't know that we had one or who it		5	these procedures are broader than the normal rules	
6	was.		6	that apply under the Federal Records Act and	ľ
7	Q. Were you aware that there was a		7	includes messages received for informational	
8	requirement to do so?		8	purposes only, arranging meetings and other	
9	MR. RAUH: What was required?		9	non-records. Do you know what the Federal Records	3
10	MR. BALARAN: I'm sorry.		10	Act is, and I'm not asking for a legal	
11	BY MR. BALARAN:		11	interpretation, I just wonder if you know what it	
12 13	Q. This last sentence that I read, were			is?	
13	you aware that there was a requirement not to		13	A. I'm not familiar with the Federal	
15	deplete e-mail messages from your in or sent box until you received an e-mail message from the			Records Act.	
16	systems administrator?		15	Q. Do you know what a federal records is,	
17	A. No, I was not aware that we had to			have you ever heard that as a term of art?	
18	receive a communication from the administrator.		17 18	A. In our discussions relative to the	
19	Q. The next page is a memorandum from	Ì		Indian Trust records and just generalizations, I have heard it.	ľ
20	Daryl White dated November 28th, 2001. Again,		20	Q. Whose discussions when you say ours?	200
21	this is the same date and you were out of town, I	1	21	A. Special trustee, myself, Mr. Rossman,	
22	believe you had stated earlier, correct?			Art Gary, of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Sharon	
23	A. Yes.			Blackwell.	li li
2.3					

24

Q. Are these related to the conversations

25 you were speaking about earlier when you had the

		*** d3111	ington, D.C.	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	divergent views as to what constituted Indian Trust records? A. Exactly. Q. But as far as what a federal records is, you're not exactly aware? A. No. Q. How about generally?	Page 126	1 'O1, yes. 2 Q. And did you ask anybody if they were 3 being preserved? 4 A. No. On a periodic basis? 5 Q. Let's say on any basis, that they were 6 electronically preserved. That's your language. 7 A. Well, I was told that they were being	128
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No, I'm not going to pretend that I have knowledge that I don't have. Q. Okay. The next page, SMREQ0024963 well, actually I'm going to strike that. September 15th, 1999, I assume you had better things to do. So, okay, let's go back to your declaration. (Off the record discussion.) (Recess.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. Let's go back on. Let's go to Number 5. As a result of these messages, I began		8 electronically preserved. 9 Q. In those words? 10 A. Maybe not in those precise words, but 11 they were creating files and putting the e-mails 12 in those files. 13 Q. Is that what you talked about before, 14 the creating of the folders? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. On October 10, 2002, in preparation for 17 a scheduled deposition anticipating questions 18 regarding e-mail issues, I spoke with my 19 administrative assistant to confirm that my e-mail	
20 21 22 23 24 25	immediately deleting all e-mail messages that were not Cobell related. As an extra precaution, I continued to save Cobell-related e-mails for at least a couple of weeks with the intent of allowing enough time to pass for the e-mails to be captured by the Department of the Interior's	Page 127	messages had been saved as required. Let's discuss that for a moment. Did there come a point in time that you were noted for a deposition? A. Yes, in relationship to the Mona Enfield issue. Q. I'm going to show you a court order and	29
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	e-mail backup tapes. Is that a true statement? A. Yes. Q. Isn't it in fact also true that you also deleted Cobell-related e-mail messages? A. After they had been on the computer for a couple of weeks. Q. Do you have any independent knowledge of whether those Cobell-related e-mail messages were in fact backed up? A. I'm told that they were recoverable, yes. Q. Were all of them recoverable? A. That's what I'm told. Q. By whom? A. By Mr. Kayson and his visits with the contractors. Q. Well, do you have the knowledge to be able to explain to me how if something was deleted and is not on a backup tape you would know that it even existed in the first instance? A. No. Q. Okay. Prior to October 10, 2002, I was never informed that my e-mail messages were not being electronically preserved. Did you ask? A. Well, we discussed it in the fall of		1 notice of deposition, and we can label them 8 and 2 9. 3	

	Page 1.	0		Page 132
1	is confusing him. He knew that there was a	1	Q. Did you have any idea what you were to	
2	deposition of him to be taken, and I think it's	2	testify about?	
3	the word discovery that's confusing.	3	The state of the s	
4	BY MR. BALARAN:	4	really didn't know anything about it other than	
5	Q. Do you know what discovery means?	5	just what I've outlined right here, and I couldn't	
6 7	A. Not in a legal sense.	6	really see how anything I had to say would be	
8	Q. What's your understanding of discovery?A. Finding something out that you didn't	7	salient to that whole issue. I had no knowledge	
9	know about.	8	firsthand of it.	
10	Q. You've got it right, good enough for	10	Q. But you knew it had something to do with OIRM, correct?	
11	me. Where did you go to law school?	111	,	
12	There came a point in time you became	12		
13	aware that you were going to be deposed in the	13	Comment of the commen	
14	matter regarding Mona Enfield?	14		
15	A. Yes, that's correct.	15	Q. And you knew that Miss Enfield was on	
16	Q. Tell me to the best of your knowledge	16		
17	what the Mona Enfield matter was all about.	17	A. Yes.	
18	MR. RAUH: Objection. He just wants to	18	Q. And those are the facts that you knew	
19	know what you know about Mona Enfield in general.	19	about this case?	
20	THE WITNESS: Oh, I apologize.	20	A. Sharon Blackwell had shared that with	
21	BY MR. BALARAN:	21	me.	
22	Q. That's all right. I just wanted to ask	22	Q. And no other facts that you can recall	
23	you, you've heard of Mona Enfield, correct?	23	at the moment?	
24 25	A. Yes, I have.	24	A. No.	
43	Q. Are you aware that Mona Enfield at one	25	Q. Okay. Let's go back to your	
	1711			
	Page 13			Page 133
1	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that	1	declaration.	Page 133
1 2	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against?	1 2	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we	Page 133
3	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties.	1 2 3	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that?	Page 133
3 4	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what	1 2 3 4	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment.	Page 133
3 4 5	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging?	1 2 3 4 5	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh.	Page 133
3 4	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona	1 2 3 4	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN:	Page 133
3 4 5	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9?	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes.	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify?	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes.	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify?	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque. Q. Anything else?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys Q. Who's they?	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque. Q. Anything else? A. That's it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys Q. Who's they? A. I don't know.	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque. Q. Anything else? A. That's it. Q. And this move that you're talking	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys Q. Who's they? A. I don't know. Q. Oh, okay. You used the word they.	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque. Q. Anything else? A. That's it. Q. And this move that you're talking about, what move?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys Q. Who's they? A. I don't know. Q. Oh, okay. You used the word they. A. The attorneys for both sides reached a	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque. Q. Anything else? A. That's it. Q. And this move that you're talking about, what move? A. Well, we had moved the Office of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys Q. Who's they? A. I don't know. Q. Oh, okay. You used the word they. A. The attorneys for both sides reached a settlement.	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque. Q. Anything else? A. That's it. Q. And this move that you're talking about, what move? A. Well, we had moved the Office of Information Resource Management not we, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys Q. Who's they? A. I don't know. Q. Oh, okay. You used the word they. A. The attorneys for both sides reached a settlement. Q. Okay.	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque. Q. Anything else? A. That's it. Q. And this move that you're talking about, what move? A. Well, we had moved the Office of Information Resource Management not we, the Bureau of Indian Affairs did sometime prior to the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys Q. Who's they? A. I don't know. Q. Oh, okay. You used the word they. A. The attorneys for both sides reached a settlement. Q. Okay. MR. RAUH: While Mr. McCaleb, and I	Page 133
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	point in time asserted a claim or an argument that she was retaliated against? A. Yes, I was told that by third parties. Q. Can you tell me in your own words what you know had occurred or what she was alleging? A. Just really what you said, that Mona Enfield was an employee of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, that she had been asked to move from Albuquerque to work in Reston, that she didn't want to, I'm not sure whether she moved or not, and that she was retaliated against somehow in relationship to that whole controversy. That all happened before I came on the scene, I didn't know anything about it, and it wasn't my responsibility to become actively involved. She was on paid leave, I was aware of that, in Albuquerque. Q. Anything else? A. That's it. Q. And this move that you're talking about, what move? A. Well, we had moved the Office of Information Resource Management not we, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RAUH: What about Exhibit 9, are we going to ignore that? MR. BALARAN: Yes, just for the moment. Oh, actually, thank you, Mr. Rauh. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. You did receive this notice of deposition that was Exhibit 9? A. Yes. Q. You were prepared to testify? A. Yes. Q. Did there come a point in time that you did so testify? A. No. I presented myself at the appointed time and place and they, the attorneys Q. Who's they? A. I don't know. Q. Oh, okay. You used the word they. A. The attorneys for both sides reached a settlement. Q. Okay.	Page 133

23

24

25

A. A more accurate description, not that

this is inaccurate, but I was in the process as I

indicated in the earlier paragraph, of going

through the sent e-mails.

			т—		
	Pa	ige 134			Page 136
1	going on somewhere with someone, and we were told		1	Q. You were in the process of going	
2	later by Mr. Gingold to go home, the matter had		2	through the sent e-mails?	
3	been resolved.		3	A. Right, I was going	
4	BY MR. BALARAN:		4	Q. Can you show	
5	Q. Is that your understanding?		5	A. I was going through the process of	
6	A. Yes.		6	cleaning up my computer.	
7	Q. Let me read paragraph 7 again of your		7	Q. Can you show me where it says that in	
8	declaration. On October 10, 2002, in preparation		8	an earlier	
9	for a scheduled deposition, anticipating questions		ا و	A. It says	
10	regarding e-mail issues. Why were you		10	Q. No, please. Stop for one second. We	
11	anticipating questions regarding e-mail issues?		11	have to calm down here. When you tell me	
12	A. I was trying to anticipate all kinds of		12	something and I ask you a question, please just	
13	questions because I didn't have any facts relative		13	wait until I finish. You testified a moment ago,	
14	to the matter at hand and I couldn't understand		14	as it said here in an earlier paragraph, you were	
15	why I was being subpoenaed to testify.		15	going through your sent e-mail messages. Does it	
16	Q. But the facts you did have, as you just		16	say that in here?	
17	told me, it had to do with OIRM, they had to do		17	A. No, that's incorrect. It says in the	
18	with retaliation, they had to do with Mona Enfield		18	earlier paragraph that I deleted e-mails in order	
19	on paid leave, why would you anticipate questions		19	to comply with the threshold memory limitation.	
20	regarding e-mail issues?		20	Q. You stated a moment ago that you were	
21	A. I guess I was anticipating questions		21	going to be more accurate about this, that this	
22	about a plethora of issues relative to the Cobell		22	was accurate but you were going to give me or	
23	case.		23	clarify. Why don't you do so?	
24	Q. Okay. Tell me what other issues you		24	A. I was in the process of cleaning up the	
25	anticipated questions about.		25	computer and deleting some e-mails, and I had had	
	1 1		23	computer and detecting some comains, and I had had	
	Page	ge 135			D 10-
		30 133			Page 137
1	A. The reorganization.		1	this, you know, question in my mind about what I	
2	Q. The reorganization of what?		2	was going to be queried about, and I thought that	
3	A. The Bureau of Indian Affairs within the		3	well, I'd better assure myself that we had all the	
4	Department of the Interior. The BITAM proposal.		4	e-mails. And that's when I raised the issue with	
5	The consultations. The task force activities.		5	Miss Maybee.	
6	Q. Did anybody tell you that these were		6	Q. And tell me how you raised the issue.	
7	topics that you would be discussing?		7	A. Well, she I was at my computer, and	
8	MR. RAUH: Let me object. I think both		8	I asked her.	
10	the Department of Justice and private counsel want		9	Q. Did you call her in the office?	
10	to object to that question at this time.		10	A. Yeah.	
11 12	MS. KESSLER: Yes.		11	Q. And what did you ask her?	
12	MR. BALARAN: Okay, all right.	l	12	A. I said, are all of my e-mails are	l
	THE WITNESS: I apologize, counsel, I'm		13	backed up?	
14 15	a very forthcoming person.	l	14	Q. Just like that?	
16	MR. RAUH: You're also a very quick		15	A. Right, and I specifically asked as an	
17	person. BY MR. BALARAN:		16	example, do we have all the morning reports.	
18		ĺ	17	Q. Why did you pick that as an example?	
19	Q. Anticipating questions regarding e-mail issues, I spoke with my administrative assistant		18	A. Because it was something that came out	
20	to confirm that my e-mail messages had been saved		19	every day.	
21	as required. Is that correct?		20 21	Q. Did you give her any other examples?	
22	A. A more accurate description not that		21	A. No, I just asked for the morning	

22 reports.

Q. Did you ask her during this

conversation whether or not you should have

printed the OIRM report before deleting it?

23

24

		Page 138			Page 140
1 2 3 4	Q. It's possible you did? A. No, I don't think so.		1 2 3 4	BY MR. BALARAN: Q. Any time. I'm asking, was it October 10, 2002? A. No, its was before.	
5	e-mails, correct?		5 6	Q. So when before?	
7 8	Q. And what did she say?		7	A. Well, when I received the message that the threshold, the memory threshold was getting	
9	She conveyed to me that they weren't saved, that		8 9	full. Q. And that's when you started deleting	
11	Q. And this is the first time you posed		10 11	the e-mail messages? A. The incoming e-mail.	
12 13	A. I think so, yes.		12 13	Q. Right, that's the late spring and summer of 2002, correct?	
14 15	anticipated in response to a deposition about Miss		14 15	A. Yes. MS. KESSLER: That's when he started.	
16 17	Enfield being queried about this matter?		16 17	BY MR. BALARAN: Q. That's fine. Did you delete e-mail	
18 19	deposition coming up, that was the possibility		18 19	messages on October 10, 2002? MR. BALARAN: Go ahead. You can	
20 21			20 21	testify for him. MS. KESSLER: No.	
22 23	caused me to ask the question. Q. Now this doesn't say that, though.		22	MR. BALARAN: No, no. You can testify	
24 25	This doesn't mention the other matter. This		23 24	for him, but I'm asking you. BY MR. BALARAN:	
	doesn't mention the matter that you were cleaning		25	Q. Were you deleting e-mail messages on	
		Page 139			Page 141
1 2	up your e-mails. Why is that? A. Well, I do mention it in the previous		1 2	October 10, 2002? A. I think I just said that I was deleting	
3	paragraphs. Q. Please tell me where. Show me what it		3	sent e-mails. Q. On October 10, 2002?	
5 6	is you're referring to, that you cleaned up your e-mails?		5	A. Yes.	
7	A. I began immediately deleting all e-mail	l			
U	messages that were not Cohell related, and it has		7	Q. And so there was a convergence of issues. There was the fact that you were deleting	
- 9 10	messages that were not Cobell related, and it has a reference to paragraph 4 where it says		7 8 9	issues. There was the fact that you were deleting them and the fact that you were about to be deposed on an issue related to retaliation for	
10 11	messages that were not Cobell related, and it has a reference to paragraph 4 where it says Q. Right, in late spring and summer of 2002, sir. This is October 10, 2002. How could		7 8 9 10 11	issues. There was the fact that you were deleting them and the fact that you were about to be deposed on an issue related to retaliation for Mona Enfield? A. Correct.	
10 11 12 13	messages that were not Cobell related, and it has a reference to paragraph 4 where it says Q. Right, in late spring and summer of 2002, sir. This is October 10, 2002. How could there be a convergence at that point in time? A. I think I just said there was the		7 8 9 10 11 12 13	issues. There was the fact that you were deleting them and the fact that you were about to be deposed on an issue related to retaliation for Mona Enfield? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you ask Miss Maybee for any documents related to BITAM?	
10 11 12 13 14 15	messages that were not Cobell related, and it has a reference to paragraph 4 where it says Q. Right, in late spring and summer of 2002, sir. This is October 10, 2002. How could there be a convergence at that point in time? A. I think I just said there was the convergence. Q. Please, explain it to me again.		7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issues. There was the fact that you were deleting them and the fact that you were about to be deposed on an issue related to retaliation for Mona Enfield? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you ask Miss Maybee for any documents related to BITAM? A. No. Q. Did you ask her for documents related	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	messages that were not Cobell related, and it has a reference to paragraph 4 where it says Q. Right, in late spring and summer of 2002, sir. This is October 10, 2002. How could there be a convergence at that point in time? A. I think I just said there was the convergence. Q. Please, explain it to me again. A. I was going through deleting e-mails on sent e-mails, I just started		7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issues. There was the fact that you were deleting them and the fact that you were about to be deposed on an issue related to retaliation for Mona Enfield? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you ask Miss Maybee for any documents related to BITAM? A. No. Q. Did you ask her for documents related to any of the other topics that you just specified that you thought you might be asked about?	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	messages that were not Cobell related, and it has a reference to paragraph 4 where it says Q. Right, in late spring and summer of 2002, sir. This is October 10, 2002. How could there be a convergence at that point in time? A. I think I just said there was the convergence. Q. Please, explain it to me again. A. I was going through deleting e-mails on sent e-mails, I just started MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, it doesn't say the late summer of 2002, number four doesn't.		7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues. There was the fact that you were deleting them and the fact that you were about to be deposed on an issue related to retaliation for Mona Enfield? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you ask Miss Maybee for any documents related to BITAM? A. No. Q. Did you ask her for documents related to any of the other topics that you just specified that you thought you might be asked about? A. No. I asked for the daily reports because they came daily and there would be a	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	messages that were not Cobell related, and it has a reference to paragraph 4 where it says Q. Right, in late spring and summer of 2002, sir. This is October 10, 2002. How could there be a convergence at that point in time? A. I think I just said there was the convergence. Q. Please, explain it to me again. A. I was going through deleting e-mails on sent e-mails, I just started MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, it doesn't say the late summer of 2002, number four doesn't. MR. BALARAN: I understand. MS. KESSLER: It says as a result of		7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issues. There was the fact that you were deleting them and the fact that you were about to be deposed on an issue related to retaliation for Mona Enfield? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you ask Miss Maybee for any documents related to BITAM? A. No. Q. Did you ask her for documents related to any of the other topics that you just specified that you thought you might be asked about? A. No. I asked for the daily reports	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	messages that were not Cobell related, and it has a reference to paragraph 4 where it says Q. Right, in late spring and summer of 2002, sir. This is October 10, 2002. How could there be a convergence at that point in time? A. I think I just said there was the convergence. Q. Please, explain it to me again. A. I was going through deleting e-mails on sent e-mails, I just started MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, it doesn't say the late summer of 2002, number four doesn't. MR. BALARAN: I understand.		7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issues. There was the fact that you were deleting them and the fact that you were about to be deposed on an issue related to retaliation for Mona Enfield? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Did you ask Miss Maybee for any documents related to BITAM? A. No. Q. Did you ask her for documents related to any of the other topics that you just specified that you thought you might be asked about? A. No. I asked for the daily reports because they came daily and there would be a number of them, and that would be a test.	

Page 142		Page 144
1 were recoverable. 2 Q. Did you call the e-mail administrator? 3 A. No, I did not. 4 Q. Did you call anybody technical? 5 A. I didn't know who the e-mail 6 administrator was. 7 Q. So, did you make an inquiry to find out 8 who that person was or if such a person existed? 9 A. No, I did not. 10 Q. So you asked Miss Maybee to do it; is 11 that correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Now to your knowledge, does Miss Maybee 14 have that kind of computer expertise? 15 A. No, I don't think she does, but she's 16 my just as my deputy assistant secretary 17 doesn't have specific knowledge of highway 18 activities, I asked her to get information from 19 the roadway people to refer back to me, so I don't 19 have to talk to everyone of 3,000 people in my 10 organization. 20 Q. So, did you tell her to go to the 21 e-mail administrator or some such person? 22 A. I didn't instruct her who to go to, I 23 just gave I said I'd like to know if I have, I	question to you is, you stated four or five topics in addition to the e-mail issue that you felt you might be queried on. Do you recall that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And I'm asking, did you ask anybody for documents related to any of these other topics to assist you in preparing for the Mona Enfield deposition? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I didn't feel that I had the need to. Q. Just the e-mail issue? A. Because that wasn't in my care, control and custody. Q. But BITAM was? A. I was intimately involved and had an extensive knowledge about BITAM. Q. So you didn't need those documents? A. That's right. Q. So the only documents you felt, of all of the topics you discussed with me, the only topic you needed documents on were the e-mail issues, correct? A. That I needed an assurance that we in fact had them.	
wanted basically I said it this way, I think my e-mails are stored, is that right? There was this big long silence, and I said let's get the daily reports. Q. Let's get the daily reports? A. Right. Q. What kind of is that when you found out they were encrypted? A. I didn't find out they were encrypted until I read it a few days ago. Q. A few days ago being sometime this month? A. Yes. Q. What other information did you request for preparation for your deposition, because you mentioned about four or five different topics that you anticipated being queried about. What other information did you ask for? A. That's all I asked her for. Q. Who else did you ask for information, from who else? A. Oh, I sat down and discussed the Mona Enfield issue with the deputy commissioner, to make sure my recollection was correct. Q. Okay. And that's not responsive. My	1 Q. Did Sharon Blackwell tell you that the 2 retaliation issue had to do with e-mails? 3 A. No. 4 Q. Did anybody? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Who else did you discuss this with? 7 MR. RAUH: Other than counsel. 8 BY MR. BALARAN: 9 Q. You can tell me who you discussed it 10 with. I'm not asking the substance of the 11 conversations. 12 A. Counsel. 13 Q. Personal counsel? 14 A. And Department of Justice. 15 Q. Solicitor's office? 16 A. They were there, yes. 17 Q. During the course of these discussions 18 with professional not with personal counsel, 19 but during your conversations with either Justice 20 or Solicitor's office, did you receive any 21 documents? 22 A. I don't know. I don't think so. 23 Q. Did you ask for any documents to assist 24 with your preparation of the Mona Enfield 25 deposition?	Page 145

	·		1		
		Page 146			Page 1
1	A. No. In fact, the discussion centered		1	in Exhibit 7?	
2	around the fact that I had no personal firsthand		2	MR. BALARAN: I don't know and I'll	
3	knowledge and there was little reason for me to		3	tell you why. I'm not sure that it is. In fact,	
4	become educated on something I didn't have any		4	I'm sure it's not actually.	
5	knowledge of, by third parties.		5		
6	Q. I have five drafts in my possession		6		
7	which I'm going to share with you, of the November		7	November 8th, 2002 letter, if you would. Could	
8	8th Sabrina McCarthy letter to Peter Miller.		8	you refer him to that, to page 3, footnote 3? Do	
9	Would that refresh your recollection if I told you		ة ا	you see that? We discussed that these are the	
10	that there were five such drafts?		10	dates that you were out of town?	
11	A. No, it wouldn't. I didn't have any		11	A. Uh-huh.	
12	knowledge that there were five drafts.		12		
13	Q. Okay.		13	C J J J J J G G G G G	
14	A. That does not surprise me, but I had no		14	those seemed to conform to your schedule. Now I	
15	knowledge of it.			would like you to look at April 4th, 2002, and it	
16	Q. I'm just asking what you know, why		15	appears that you were out town at that point,	
17	wouldn't it surprise you?	į	16	correct?	
18			17	MR. RAUH: I'll stipulate that's what	
19			18	paragraph 3 says.	
9	and T crossing before they submit the final document in my experience.		19	BY MR. BALARAN:	
21	O So they would sale at a 1		20	Q. Okay. Do you recall where you were at	
	Q. So they would submit a document with a		21	that time?	
22	lot of drafts without having consulted you for all		22	A. Let's see. March 29, I think to the	
23	of them, do you feel that's the way things are		23	best of my recollection we were holding a tribal	
4	done?		24	task force meeting in San Diego.	
25	A. I saw the final one.		25	Q. Okay. Did anybody forward this, what	
		Page 147			Page 14
l	Q. You saw the final one before it was		1	exhibit is it now, Exhibit 10 to you?	
2	sent to me?		2	A. I have no recollection of that.	
3	A. Yes.	j	3	Q. So you have not seen it before today?	
1	Q. And you signed off on it?	3	4	A. No, I don't think so, principally	
5	A. Yes, I approved it.		5	because of the distinctive heading up here.	
5	Q. Well, when you say you approved it,		6	Q. What makes that distinctive heading	
7	what does that mean?		7	something	
3	A. I mean I looked at it and thought the	[_	A. I just have never seen it before.	
		l	8	Just have here! Seeli it belole.	
	content of it was accurate.		8 9	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself	
)	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay.		-	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself	٠
)	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a		9	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content?	
)	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second.		9 10 11 12	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection	
) !	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.)		9 10 11 12 13	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before.	
)	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on.		9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear	
)	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for		9 10 11 12 13	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear	
	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.)		9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I mean, the document is not at all clear. This is	
)	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN:		9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I mean, the document is not at all clear. This is	
) 1 2 3 4 5	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I'm handing you a document; it says		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I	
0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 8	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I'm handing you a document; it says discussion, subject, document retention related to		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I mean, the document is not at all clear. This is an e-mail that was sent on April 4th, and we have no idea when	
0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 8	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I'm handing you a document; it says discussion, subject, document retention related to trust management and Individual Indian Money		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I mean, the document is not at all clear. This is an e-mail that was sent on April 4th, and we have no idea when MR. BALARAN: I agree with you. It's	
0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 3	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I'm handing you a document; it says discussion, subject, document retention related to trust management and Individual Indian Money accounts, the date on it is April 4th, 2002, and		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I mean, the document is not at all clear. This is an e-mail that was sent on April 4th, and we have no idea when MR. BALARAN: I agree with you. It's just a document that came into my possession and	
0 1 2 3 4 5 7 3 9 9	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I'm handing you a document; it says discussion, subject, document retention related to trust management and Individual Indian Money accounts, the date on it is April 4th, 2002, and it's a memorandum from Bill Myers to the Office of		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I mean, the document is not at all clear. This is an e-mail that was sent on April 4th, and we have no idea when MR. BALARAN: I agree with you. It's just a document that came into my possession and I'm asking if he's seen it.	
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 3 9	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I'm handing you a document; it says discussion, subject, document retention related to trust management and Individual Indian Money		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I mean, the document is not at all clear. This is an e-mail that was sent on April 4th, and we have no idea when MR. BALARAN: I agree with you. It's just a document that came into my possession and I'm asking if he's seen it. MS. KESSLER: Right. I'm just making	
3 4 5 7 3 3 9	content of it was accurate. Q. Okay. MR. BALARAN: Just off the record for a second. (Off the record discussion.) MR. BALARAN: Back on. (McCaleb Exhibit 10 marked for identification.) BY MR. BALARAN: Q. I'm handing you a document; it says discussion, subject, document retention related to trust management and Individual Indian Money accounts, the date on it is April 4th, 2002, and it's a memorandum from Bill Myers to the Office of		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I see. How about the memorandum itself without the distinctive heading, just something with that subject, that date, that content? A. I don't have any specific recollection of having seen it before. MS. KESSLER: Mr. Balaran, is it clear that this memorandum was issued on April 4th? I mean, the document is not at all clear. This is an e-mail that was sent on April 4th, and we have no idea when MR. BALARAN: I agree with you. It's just a document that came into my possession and I'm asking if he's seen it.	

			T		
	P:	age 150			Page 153
1.					Page 152
	exactly what you're saying, counsel. However, not		1	A. I have general knowledge of it. As I	
2			2	have said before, I can't identify a specific	
3	J		3	document that I read at a place in time.	
4	THE WITNESS: I want to make it clear		4	Q. Have you ever taken any training of any	
5	that I'm not swearing that I was in San Diego on		5	sort, either formal or informal, that keeps you	
6	those dates. San Diego could have been the 21st		6	abreast of the Cobell litigation, any meetings?	
7	to the 24th, but somewhere in that vicinity we had		7	A. No. I get copies of filings for the	
8	a meeting in San Diego. I would have to go back		8	Cobell litigation.	
9	to my calendar to see specifically where I was.		9		
10			1	Q. And do they go to you directly?	
11	Q. During your tenure as Assistant		10	A. They are widely disseminated in the	
12			11	Department of the Interior.	
1			12	Q. And when you say filings, do you mean	
13	occasion to meet with Bryan Burns, Dominic Nessi,		13	copies of all pleadings, filings, motions that go	
14	j j i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		14	back and forth?	
15	concerning the preservation and retention of		15	A. I can't tell you that I get copies of	
16			16	all pleadings and filings. I get copies of a	
17	A. Not specifically on that subject.		17	great many.	
18	Q. How about generally on that subject?		18	Q. Okay. Are you aware that there is	
19	A. Not with Dom, for sure. Debbie, I		19	currently an effort under foot to try and arrive	
20	don't think so, and not with Bryan.		20	at a definition of Indian Trust records, a uniform	
21	Q. During your tenure as Assistant		21	definition?	
22	Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you ever met		22	A. I'm aware that there is a need to do	
23	with any of your staff concerning the preservation		23	that.	
24	or retention of Cobell-related e-mails as they		24		
25	relate to the three functional areas?	l	25	Q. But my question is a little different.	
	relate to the three ranctional areas:		23	A. I am not aware who the participants	
1		i	l		1
					1
	Pas	ge 151			Page 153
		ge 151			Page 153
1	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002,	ge 151	1	would be.	Page 153
2	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the	ge 151	1 2	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My	Page 153
2 3	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically.	ge 151	1 2 3	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My	Page 153
2	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff?	ge 151		Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this	Page 153
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no.	ge 151	3	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question 	ge 151	3 4	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust	Page 153
2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary 	ge 151	3 4 5	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data?	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help.	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was,	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN:	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior.	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas?	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No.	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001.	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera?	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right.	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under your name?	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there is a discrete group of people assigned to, to your	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under your name? A. Not that I can recall.	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there is a discrete group of people assigned to, to your knowledge?	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under your name? A. Not that I can recall. Q. Is that something you would recall,	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there is a discrete group of people assigned to, to your knowledge? A. I don't have knowledge of that.	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under your name? A. Not that I can recall. Q. Is that something you would recall, signing such a directive?	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there is a discrete group of people assigned to, to your knowledge?	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under your name? A. Not that I can recall. Q. Is that something you would recall, signing such a directive? A. I sign a great many papers. I just	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there is a discrete group of people assigned to, to your knowledge? A. I don't have knowledge of that.	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under your name? A. Not that I can recall. Q. Is that something you would recall, signing such a directive? A. I sign a great many papers. I justnot that I could have, I just don't recall.	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there is a discrete group of people assigned to, to your knowledge? A. I don't have knowledge of that. Q. Are you involved in such discussions? A. No.	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under your name? A. Not that I can recall. Q. Is that something you would recall, signing such a directive? A. I sign a great many papers. I justnot that I could have, I just don't recall. Q. Do you recall reading such a directive	ge 151	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there is a discrete group of people assigned to, to your knowledge? A. I don't have knowledge of that. Q. Are you involved in such discussions? A. No. Q. Are you given updates regularly as to	Page 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Pursuant to October 10th of 2002, I had been briefed by representatives from the Solicitor's office, specifically. Q. Is that your staff? A. Not my staff, no. Q. Let me go back and ask the question again. During your tenure as Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs, have you had occasion to meet with your staff where the topic of discussion was, or a topic of discussion was the preservation and retention of e-mails related to the three functional areas? A. No. Q. Okay. Has any directives or memoranda related to the retention or preservation of e-mails related to the three functional areas ever been distributed under your letterhead or under your name? A. Not that I can recall. Q. Is that something you would recall, signing such a directive? A. I sign a great many papers. I justnot that I could have, I just don't recall.		3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Well, I didn't ask you that either. My question was, are you aware let's start this way. Do you know whether there is in fact an initiative under foot to define Indian Trust records, Indian Trust data? MR. RAUH: I think, Mr. Balaran, if you identify by whom, that might help. BY MR. BALARAN: Q. By anybody at the Department of The Interior. A. We started that back in the fall of 2001. Q. And by that you're referring to with Redding, et cetera? A. Right. Q. And is this initiative one that there is a discrete group of people assigned to, to your knowledge? A. I don't have knowledge of that. Q. Are you involved in such discussions? A. No.	Page 153

	vv asimi	gion,	D.C.	
	Page 154			Page 156
1	A. I have not received any recently.	1,	Billings, the Rocky Mountain regional office, and	
2	Q. Have you received any at any time?	2	is deployed at Anadarko, the Southern Plains	
3	A. Back in the fall of '01, I did, yes.	3	regional office.	
4	Q. And that was the Redding, California	4	Q. So, it is deployed now?	
5	meeting notes?	5	A. It is there and it's capable of finding	
6	A. Right.	6	current title.	
7	Q. But aside from that?	7	Q. Is it currently in use, do you know?	
8	A. I have no recollection of any since	8	A. Yes, at Billings.	
9	then, but I know that it's not resolved.	9	Q. So at Billings, is it what they call	
10	Q. How do you know that?	10	the system of record?	
11	A. Because we had some conversations in	11	A. Yes, it is.	
12	the spring with Tom Slonaker.	12	Q. Okay.	
13	Q. Spring?	13	MR. RAUH: You are going to tie this in	
14	A. Of '02.	14	to e-mail somehow?	
15	Q. And those conversations were what?	15	MR. BALARAN: Yes. You're objecting	
16	A. That we had not resolved that issue.	16	now?	
17	Q. Do you know what LRIS is?	17	MR. RAUH: I'm just saying I'm waiting	
18	A. Sure.	18	for you to tie this in with e-mail.	
19	Q. Tell me.	19	MR. BALARAN: Then I will state for the	
20	A. That's an acronym that stands for Land	20	record that they are tying in to the extent that	
21	Realty Information Systems.	21	there were e-mails that refer to these things and	
22	Q. Do you know what information is	22	whether or not they fall within the three	
23	contained on LRIS?	23	functional groups that need to be saved, or just	
24	A. Title, ownership well, not	24	other administrative e-mail, I'm entitled to know	
25	ownership, but who has title to it as the trust	25	how he defines them.	
	-			
	Page 155			Page 157
1	beneficiary.	,	ACD DATE ACT	
2	Q. And do you know where that information	1	MR. RAUH: Maybe you ought to ask him,	
3	resides?	2	were any of those e-mails that were involved in	
4	A. Well, LRIS is the, what they refer to	3	this issue	
•	in the state is the, what they leter to	4	MR. BALARAN: Well actually. I did ask	

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

Well, LRIS is the, what they refer to as the legacy system, where we came from, and the

title information basically originates at the

7 agency level and is usually maintained as

historical information at the regional level. 9 LRIS, the servers are in Reston, I think.

10 Q. Any other legacy systems that you know

11 12

A. No. There are other legacy systems. 13 Historic title, LRIS has both historic title and

the current title, I'm aware of that because

that's an issue with TAAMS, the integration of 15

16 historic and current title.

17 Q. But are there any other legacy systems you can name for me now? 18

19 A. No, I can't. 20

Q. What's the status of the TAAMS system?

A. It has been in suspense for some time. 21 22

Q. Do you know why?

23 Because the EDS report suggested that

it be held in suspense, the deployment of it. And 24

I know the system is existent and is deployed in

MR. BALARAN: Well actually, I did ask him that, so I think I've got what I need. If you want to supplement the answer, you're welcome to do so.

> Let's go off the record. (Off the record discussion.)

BY MR. BALARAN:

Q. Back on the record. I just want to in conclusion, it's my understanding that you recently tendered your resignation?

A. That's correct.

Q. What are your plans?

A. Go back to Oklahoma and enjoy my 16 17 children and grandchildren.

18 Q. Do you intend to do any more work with 19 the Department of the Interior?

20 A. No. That doesn't preclude that, but 21 that's not my plan.

22 MR. BALARAN: Well, listen, I thank 23 you, because in the first instance, you know, you willingly came in response to a letter that I

wrote without having to go through a more formal

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	machinations or legal maneuvering and I'm very grateful for that. I'm also grateful just from what I've seen from your footnote 3 of the November 8th letter that you travel more than anyone really should and that your time is quite valuable, especially so I'm sure in your last days. Therefore, I do want to thank you for appearing today. And I just appreciate your candor and honesty. Obviously, we're going to have an opportunity to sit one more time where both counsel will have the ability to question you about this issue alone, and what else can I say	Page 158	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. GINGOLD: Oh, it's going to be longer than that. I'm just talking about, it will not take all day, that's for sure. (Whereupon, at 1:20 p.m., the taking of the instant deposition ceased.) Signature of the Witness SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this of Notary Public My Commission Expires:	Page 159	



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Division

Regular Mail: P.O. Box 875 Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044-0875

Express Delivery: 1100 L Street, N.W. Room 10048 Washington, DC 20005

Amalia D. Kessler Trial Attorney

Tel.: (202) 305-1759
Facsimile: (202) 514-9163
E-mail: amalia.kessler@usdoj.gov

December 12, 2002

BY FACSIMILE

Alan L. Balaran, Special Master 1717 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., 12th Floor Washington, DC 20006

Re:

Transcripts of Depositions Regarding the Deletion of Assistant Secretary

for Indian Affairs McCaleb's E-mails

Dear Mr. Balaran:

I am writing to advise you that, as I myself just noticed, the transcript of the December 6 deposition of Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs Neal McCaleb states "Confidential-Subject to Protective Order." As you know, there is no such protective order regarding this deposition, or any of the depositions you are conducting concerning the deletion of Mr. McCaleb's e-mails.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Amalia D. Kessler

Trial Attorney

Commercial Litigation Branch

cc: Dennis M. Gingold, Esq.

Keith M. Harper, Esq.

Sabrina McCarthy, Assistant Solicitor for Trust Reform

MODE - MEMORY TRANSMISSION

START=DEC-12 11:49

END=DEC-12 11:54

FILE NO. =354

STN NO.	COMM.	ONE-TOUCH/ ABBR NO.	STATION NAME/TEL NO.	PAGES	DURATION
001	ОK	2	99868477	002/002	00:00:44
002	OΚ	2	93182372	002/002	00:00:51
<i>0</i> 03	OK	=	98220068	002/002	00:00:36
004	OΚ	2	92083490	002/002	00:00:33

-DOJ/CIVIL DIVISION

202 514 9163- ********



U.S. Department of Justice Commercial Litigation Branch

Regular Mail: P.O. Box 875 Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044-0875

Express Delivery: 1100 L Street, N.W. Room 10048 Washington, DC 20005

Amalia D. Kessler Trial Attorney

Tel.: (202) 305-1759

Fax: (202) 514-9163 E-mail: amalia.kessler@usdoj.gov

December 12, 2002

To: Alan L. Balaran, Esq.	Fax: (202) 986-8477
Organization: Special Master	Tel.: (202) 466-5019
To: Dennis M. Gingold, Esq.	Fax: (202) 318-2372
Organization:	Tel.: (202) 661-6380
To: Keith M. Harper, Esq.	Fax: (202) 822-0068
Organization:	Tel.: (202) 785-4166
To: Sabrina A. McCarthy, Asst. Solicitor	Fax: (202) 208-3490
Organization:	Tel.: (202) 219-2139

NUMBER OF PAGES SENT (INCLUDING COVER PAGE): 2

REMARKS:

IMPORTANT: This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this transmission or it's contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephoning and return the original transmission to us at the address given below.